This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with this program; if not, write to the Free Software Foundation, Inc., 59 Temple Place - Suite 330, Boston, MA 02111-1307, USA.
4.10 Set Containment ................................................. 53

5 Interfaces to Additional Packages ........................................ 55
  5.1 INTSOSTOOLS .................................................. 55
  5.2 frlib ............................................................. 55
    5.2.1 Example code .............................................. 56

6 Inside SOSTOOLS ................................................... 59

7 List of Functions .................................................. 67
Chapter 1

About SOSTOOLS v3.03

It has been more than fifteen years since the original release of SOSTOOLS. During this time much has changed, the number of applications that sum of squares programming has found is enormous and so is the size and complexity of the resulting optimization problems. Moreover, the software which SOSTOOLS relies on, i.e. the SDP solvers and symbolic engines have also been evolving.

Previous versions of SOSTOOLS relied upon the MATLAB Symbolic Math Toolbox. When SOSTOOLS was first released, the Symbolic Math Toolbox that MATLAB used was the MAPLE engine which performed all symbolic manipulations. Recently however, MATLAB has switched from the MAPLE engine to a MuPAD engine, which has a significantly different syntax. In addition to this, there has been a surge of activity in the field of numerical optimization methods and there are now numerous Semidefinite Program (SDP) solvers available. This latest version of SOSTOOLS now includes interfaces to the solvers, CSDP [2], SDPNAL [32], SDPNAL+ [31], SDPA [30] and CDCS [33] in addition to maintaining support for SeDuMi [24] and SDPT3 [26]. To add to the functionality of SOSTOOLS, two new packages have been developed which we now provide an interface to: INTSOSTOOLS [27] allows for problem formulations that contain integral inequality constraints and frlib [17] performs a partial facial reduction on the positive semidefinite cone to reduce the size of the underlying SDP. Both toolboxes must be separately downloaded and added to the MATLAB path. For information on how to use them see Section 5.

The highlights of the latest SOSTOOLS release are listed below:

- Compatibility with newer versions of MATLAB including R2018a.
- Compatibility with MuPAD, MATLAB’s symbolic engine.
- Interface to additional SDP solvers.
- Interface to INTSOSTOOLS for polynomial integral inequalities.
- Interface to frlib for facial reduction of the semidefinite cone.
- Direct specification of polynomial matrix inequalities.
- Simultaneous multiple decision variable declaration.
- Sum of Squares matrix decomposition.
- Interface to the new multivariate polynomial toolbox.
- Additional illustrative examples.
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SOSTOOLS is a free, third-party MATLAB toolbox for solving sum of squares programs. The techniques behind it are based on the sum of squares decomposition for multivariate polynomials [5], which can be efficiently computed using semidefinite programming [29]. SOSTOOLS is developed as a consequence of the recent interest in sum of squares polynomials [14, 15, 23, 5, 21, 12, 11], partly due to the fact that these techniques provide convex relaxations for many hard problems such as global, constrained, and boolean optimization.

Besides the optimization problems mentioned above, sum of squares polynomials (and hence SOSTOOLS) find applications in many other areas. This includes control theory problems, such as: search for Lyapunov functions to prove stability of a dynamical system, computation of tight upper bounds for the structured singular value $\mu$ [14], and stabilization of nonlinear systems [19]. Some examples related to these problems, as well as several other optimization-related examples, are provided and solved in the demo files that are distributed with SOSTOOLS.

In the next two sections, we will provide a quick overview on sum of squares polynomials and programs, and show the role of SOSTOOLS in sum of squares programming.

2.1 Sum of Squares Polynomials and Sum of Squares Programs

A multivariate polynomial $p(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a sum of squares (SOS, for brevity), if there exist polynomials $f_1(x), ..., f_m(x)$ such that

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i^2(x).$$

(2.1)

It is clear that $f(x)$ being an SOS naturally implies $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For a (partial) converse statement, we remind you of the equivalence, proven by Hilbert, between “nonnegativity” and “sum of squares” in the following cases:

- Univariate polynomials, any (even) degree.
- Quadratic polynomials, in any number of variables.
- Quartic polynomials in two variables.

(see [21] and the references therein). In the general multivariate case, however, $f(x) \geq 0$ in the usual sense does not necessarily imply that $f(x)$ is SOS. Notwithstanding this fact, the crucial
thing to keep in mind is that, while being stricter, the condition that \( f(x) \) is SOS is much more computationally tractable than nonnegativity [14]. At the same time, practical experience indicates that replacing nonnegativity with the SOS property in many cases leads to the exact solution.

The SOS condition (2.1) is equivalent to the existence of a positive semidefinite matrix \( Q \), such that
\[
p(x) = Z^T(x)QZ(x),
\]
where \( Z(x) \) is some properly chosen vector of monomials. Expressing an SOS polynomial using a quadratic form as in (2.2) has also been referred to as the Gram matrix method [5, 18].

As hinted above, sums of squares techniques can be used to provide tractable relaxations for many hard optimization problems. A very general and powerful relaxation methodology, introduced in [14, 15], is based on the Positivstellensatz, a central result in real algebraic geometry. Most examples in this manual can be interpreted as special cases of the practical application of this general relaxation method. In this type of relaxations, we are interested in finding polynomials \( p_i(x) \), \( i = 1, 2, ..., \hat{N} \) and sums of squares \( p_i(x) \) for \( i = (\hat{N} + 1), ..., N \) such that
\[
a_{0,j}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i(x)a_{i,j}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, ..., J,
\]
where the \( a_{i,j}(x) \)'s are some given constant coefficient polynomials. Problems of this type will be termed “sum of squares programs” (SOSP). Solutions to SOSPs like the above provide certificates, or Positivstellensatz refutations, which can be used to prove the nonexistence of real solutions of systems of polynomial equalities and inequalities (see [15] for details).

The basic feasibility problem in SOS programming will be formulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEASIBILITY:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Find  
polynomials \( p_i(x) \), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, ..., \hat{N}  
sums of squares \( p_i(x) \), \quad \text{for } i = (\hat{N} + 1), ..., N  

such that  
\[
a_{0,j}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i(x)a_{i,j}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, ..., \hat{J},
\]
\[
a_{0,j}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i(x)a_{i,j}(x) \quad \text{are sums of squares } (\geq 0)^2, 
\]
\[
\quad \text{for } j = (\hat{J} + 1), (\hat{J} + 2), ..., J.
\]

In this formulation, the \( a_{i,j}(x) \) are given scalar constant coefficient polynomials. The \( p_i(x) \)'s will be termed SOSP variables, and the constraints (2.3)–(2.4) are termed SOSP constraints. The feasible set of this problem is convex, and as a consequence SOS programming can in principle be solved using the powerful tools of convex optimization [3].

It is obvious that the same program can be formulated in terms of constraints (2.3) only, by introducing some extra sums of squares as slack program variables. However, we will keep this

---

\(^2\)Whenever constraint \( f(x) \geq 0 \) is encountered in an SOSP, it should always be interpreted as \( "f(x) \) is an SOS".
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more explicit notation for its added flexibility, since in most cases it will help make the problem statement clearer.

Since many problems are more naturally formulated using inequalities, we will call the constraints (2.4) “inequality constraints”, and denote them by $\geq 0$. It is important, however, to keep in mind the (possible) gap between nonnegativity and SOS.

Besides pure feasibility, the other natural class of problems in convex SOS programming involves optimization of an objective function that is linear in the coefficients of $p_i(x)$’s. The general form of such optimization problem is as follows:

**OPTIMIZATION:**

Minimize the linear objective function $w^T c$, where $c$ is a vector formed from the (unknown) coefficients of polynomials $p_i(x)$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{N}$.

such that

$$a_{0,j}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}} p_i(x)a_{i,j}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{J},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

$$a_{0,j}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}} p_i(x)a_{i,j}(x) \text{ are sums of squares } (\geq 0),$$ \hspace{1cm} for $j = (\hat{J} + 1), (\hat{J} + 2), \ldots, J,$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

In this formulation, $w$ is the vector of weighting coefficients for the linear objective function.

Both the feasibility and optimization problems as formulated above are quite general, and in specific cases reduce to well-known problems. In particular, notice that if all the unknown polynomials $p_i$ are restricted to be constants, and the $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}$ are quadratic forms, then we exactly recover the standard linear matrix inequality (LMI) problem formulation. The extra degrees of freedom in SOS programming are actually a bit illusory, as every SOSP can be exactly converted to an equivalent semidefinite program [14]. Nevertheless, for several reasons, the problem specification outlined above has definite practical and methodological advantages, and establishes a useful framework within which many specific problems can be solved, as we will see later in Chapter 4.

2.2 What SOSTOOLS Does

Currently, sum of squares programs are solved by reformulating them as semidefinite programs (SDPs), which in turn are solved efficiently e.g. using interior point methods. Several commercial as well as non-commercial software packages are available for solving SDPs. While the conversion from SOSP to SDP can be manually performed for small size instances or tailored for specific problem classes, such a conversion can be quite cumbersome to perform in general. It is therefore desirable to have a computational aid that automatically performs this conversion for general SOSP s. This is exactly where SOSTOOLS comes to play. It automates the conversion from SOSP to SDP, calls the SDP solver, and converts the SDP solution back to the solution of the original
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Figure 2.1: Diagram depicting relations between sum of squares program (SOSP), semidefinite program (SDP), SOSTOOLS, and SeDuMi/SDPT3/CSDP/SDPNAL/SDPNAL+/SDPA/CDCS

SOSP. At present there is an interface between SOSTOOLS and the following free MATLAB based SDP solvers: i) SeDuMi [24], ii) SDPT3 [26], iii) CSDP [2], iv) SDPNAL [32], v) SDPNAL+ [31], vi) CDCS [33] and vii) SDPA [30]. This whole process is depicted in Figure 2.1.

In the original release of SOSTOOLS, polynomials are implemented solely as symbolic objects, making full use of the capabilities of the MATLAB Symbolic Math Toolbox. This gives to the user the benefit of being able to do all polynomial manipulations using the usual arithmetic operators: +, −, *, /, ^; as well as operations such as differentiation, integration, point evaluation, etc. In addition, this provides the possibility of interfacing with the Maple\textsuperscript{3} symbolic engine and the Maple library (which is very advantageous). On the other hand, this prohibited those without access to the Symbolic Toolbox (such as those using the student edition of MATLAB) from using SOSTOOLS.

In the current SOSTOOLS release, the user has the option of using an alternative custom-built polynomial object, along with some basic polynomial manipulation methods to represent and manipulate polynomials.

The user interface has been designed to be as simple, as easy to use, and as transparent as possible, while keeping a large degree of flexibility. An SOSP is created by declaring SOSP variables (e.g., the $p_i(x)$’s in Section 1.1), adding SOSP constraints, setting the objective function, and so forth. After the program is created, one function is called to run the solver and finally the solutions to SOSP are retrieved using another function. These steps will be presented in more details in Chapter 2.

Alternatively, “customized” functions for special problem classes (such as Lyapunov function computation, etc.) can be directly used, with no user programming whatsoever required. These are presented in the first three sections of Chapter 3.

2.3 System Requirements and Installation Instruction

To install and run SOSTOOLS v3.01, MATLAB R2009a or later and the Symbolic Math Toolbox version 5.7 (or later) are required. Older versions of both MATLAB and the symbolic toolbox may

\textsuperscript{3}A registered trademark of Waterloo Maple Inc.
be sufficient however SOSTOOLS v3.03 has only been tested on versions 2009a – 2018a. Here is a list of requirements:

- MATLAB R2009a or later.
- Symbolic Math Toolbox version 5.7 (which uses the MuPAD engine).
- One of the following SDP solvers: SeDuMi, SDPT3, CSDP, SDPNAL, CDCS and SDPA. Each solver must be installed before SOSTOOLS can be used. The user is referred to the relevant documentation to see how this is done\(^4\).\(^5\). The solvers can be downloaded from:

  SeDuMi: http://sedumi.ie.lehigh.edu
  CSDP: https://projects.coin-or.org/Csdp/
  SDPNAL: http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/~mattohkc/SDPNAL.html
  SDPNAL+: http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/~mattohkc/SDPNALplus.html
  SDPA: http://sdpa.sourceforge.net/index.html
  CDCS: https://github.com/oxfordcontrol/CDCS

Note that if you do not have access to the Symbolic Toolbox then SOSTOOLS v3.03 can be used with the multivariate polynomial toolbox and any version of MATLAB.

SOSTOOLS can be easily run on a UNIX workstation, on Windows operating systems, and Mac OSX. It utilizes MATLAB sparse matrix representation for good performance and to reduce the amount of memory needed. To give an illustrative figure of the computational load, all examples in Chapter 4 except the $\mu$ upper bound example, are solved in less than 10 seconds by SOSTOOLS running on a PC with Intel Celeron 700 MHz processor and 96 MBytes of RAM. Even the $\mu$ upper bound example is solved in less than 25 seconds using the same system.

SOSTOOLS is available for free under the GNU General Public License. The software and its user’s manual can be downloaded from http://www.eng.ox.ac.uk/control/sostools/ or http://www.cds.caltech.edu/sostools or http://www.mit.edu/~parrilo/sostools/. Once you download the zip file, you should extract its contents to the directory where you want to install SOSTOOLS. In UNIX, you may use

```
unzip -U SOSTOOLS.nnn.zip -d your_dir
```

where nnn is the version number, and your_dir should be replaced by the directory of your choice. In Windows operating systems, you may use programs like Winzip to extract the files.

After this has been done, you must add the SOSTOOLS directory and its subdirectories to the MATLAB path. This is done in MATLAB by choosing the menus File -- Set Path -- Add with Subfolders ..., and then typing the name of SOSTOOLS main directory. This completes the SOSTOOLS installation. Alternatively, run the script addsostools.m from the SOSTOOLS directory.

\(^4\)It is not recommended that both SDPNAL and SDPT3 be on the MATLAB path simultaneously.

\(^5\)To use the CSDP solver please note that the CSDP binary file must be in the working directory and not simply be on the MATLAB path.
2.4 Other Things You Need to Know

The directory in which you install SOSTOOLS contains several subdirectories. Two of them are:

- **sostools/docs**: containing this user’s manual and license file.
- **sostools/demos**: containing several demo files.

The demo files in the second subdirectory above implement the SOSPs corresponding to examples in Chapter 4.

Throughout this user’s manual, we use the *typewriter* typeface to denote MATLAB variables and functions, MATLAB commands that you should type, and results given by MATLAB. MATLAB commands that you should type will also be denoted by the symbol `>>` before the commands. For example,

```matlab
>> x = sin(1)
```

```
x =
0.8415
```

In this case, `x = sin(1)` is the command that you type, and `x = 0.8415` is the result given by MATLAB.

Finally, you can send bug reports, comments, and suggestions to `sostools@cds.caltech.edu`. Any feedback is greatly appreciated.
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Solving Sum of Squares Programs

SOSTOOLS can solve two kinds of sum of squares programs: the feasibility and optimization problems, as formulated in Chapter 2. To define and solve an SOSP using SOSTOOLS, you simply need to follow these steps:

1. Initialize the SOSP.
2. Declare the SOSP variables.
3. Define the SOSP constraints.
4. Set objective function (for optimization problems).
5. Call solver.

In the next sections, we will describe each of these steps in detail. But first, we will look at how polynomials are represented and manipulated in SOSTOOLS.

3.1 Polynomial Representation and Manipulations

Polynomials in SOSTOOLS can have representation as symbolic objects, using the MATLAB Symbolic Toolbox. Typically, a polynomial is created by first declaring its independent variables and then constructing it using the usual algebraic manipulations. For example, to create a polynomial \( p(x, y) = 2x^2 + 3xy + 4y^4 \), you declare the independent variables \( x \) and \( y \) by typing

\[
>> \text{syms } x \; y;
\]

and then construct \( p(x, y) \) as follows:

\[
>> p = 2*x^2 + 3*x*y + 4*y^4
\]

\[
p = 2x^2 + 3x*y + 4y^4
\]

Polynomials such as the one created above can then be manipulated using the usual operators: \(+, -, *, /, ^\). Another operation which is particularly useful for control-related problems such
as Lyapunov function search is differentiation, which can be done using the function `diff`. For instance, to find the partial derivative \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \), you should type

```matlab
>> dpdx = diff(p, x)
```

```matlab
dpdx =
4*x + 3*y
```

For other types of symbolic manipulations, we refer you to the manual and help comments of the Symbolic Math Toolbox.

In the current version of SOSTOOLS, users without access to the Symbolic Toolbox (such as those using the student edition of MATLAB) have the option of using an alternative custom-built polynomial object, along with some basic polynomial manipulation methods to represent and manipulate polynomials. For this, we have integrated the Multivariate Polynomial Toolbox, a freely available toolbox for constructing and manipulating multivariate polynomials. In the remainder of the section, we give a brief introduction to the new polynomial objects in SOSTOOLS.

Polynomial variables are created with the `pvar` command. For example, the following command creates three variables:

```matlab
>> pvar x1 x2 x3
```

New polynomial objects can now be created from these variables, and manipulated using standard addition, multiplication, and integer exponentiation functions:

```matlab
>> p = x3^4 + 5*x2 + x1^2
```

```matlab
p =
x3^4 + 5*x2 + x1^2
```

Matrices of polynomials can be created from polynomials using horizontal/vertical concatenation and block diagonal augmentation, e.g.:

```matlab
>> M1 = blkdiag(p, 2*x2)
```

```matlab
M1 =
[ x3^4 + 5*x2 + x1^2 , 0 ]
[ 0 , 2*x2 ]
```

Naturally, it is also possible to build new polynomial matrices from already constructed submatrices. Elements of a polynomial matrix can be referenced and assigned using the standard MATLAB referencing notation:

```matlab
>> M1(1,2)=x1*x2
```

```matlab
M1 =
[ x3^4 + 5*x2 + x1^2 , x1*x2 ]
[ 0 , 2*x2 ]
```

The internal data structure for an \( N \times M \) polynomial matrix of \( V \) variables and \( T \) terms consists of a \( T \times N M \) sparse coefficient matrix, a \( T \times V \) degree matrix, and a \( V \times 1 \) cell array of variable names. This information can be easily accessed through the MATLAB field accessing operators: `p.coefficient`, `p.degmat`, and `p.varname`. The access to fields uses a case insensitive,
partial-match. Thus abbreviations, such as p.coef, can also be used to obtain the coefficients, degrees, and variable names. A few additional operations exist in this initial version of the toolbox such as trace, transpose, determinant, differentiation, logical equal and logical not equal.

The input to the SOSTOOLS commands can be specified using either the symbolic objects or the new polynomial objects (although they cannot be mixed). There are some minor variations in performance depending on the degree/number of variables of the polynomials, due the fact that the new implementation always keeps an expanded internal representation, but for most reasonable-sized problems the difference is minimal.

3.2 Initializing a Sum of Squares Program

A sum of squares program is initialized using the command sosprogram. A vector containing independent variables in the program has to be given as an argument to this function. Thus, if the polynomials in our program have $x$ and $y$ as the independent variables, then we initialize the SOSP using

```matlab
>> Program1 = sosprogram([x;y]);
```

The command above will initialize an empty SOSP called Program1.

3.3 Variable Declaration

After the program is initialized, the SOSP decision variables have to be declared. There are five functions used for this purpose, corresponding to variables of these types:

- Scalar decision variables.
- Polynomial variables.
- Sum of squares variables.
- Matrix of polynomial variables.
- Matrix of sum of squares variables.

Each of them will be described in the following subsections.

3.3.1 Scalar Decision Variables

Scalar decision variables in an SOSP are meant to be unknown scalar constants. The variable $\gamma$ in sosdemo3.m (see Section 3.3) is an example of such a variable. These variables can be declared either by specifying them when an SOSP is initialized with sosprogram, or by declaring them later using the function sosdecvar.

To declare decision variables, you must first create symbolic objects corresponding to your decision variables. This is performed using the functions syms or sym from the Symbolic Math Toolbox, in a way similar to the one you use to define independent variables in Section 3.1. As explained earlier, you can declare the decision variables when you initialize an SOSP, by giving them as a second argument to sosprogram. Thus, to declare variables named a and b, use the following command:


```matlab
>> syms x y a b;
>> Program2 = sosprogram([x;y],[a;b]);
```

Alternatively, you may declare these variables after the SOSP is initialized, or add some other decision variables to the program, using the function `sosdecvar`. For example, the sequence of commands above is equivalent to

```matlab
>> syms x y a b;
>> Program3 = sosprogram([x;y]);
>> Program3 = sosdecvar(Program3,[a;b]);
```

and also equivalent to

```matlab
>> syms x y a b;
>> Program4 = sosprogram([x;y],a);
>> Program4 = sosdecvar(Program4,b);
```

When using polynomial objects the commands are, for example,

```matlab
>> pvar x y a b;
>> Program2 = sosprogram([x;y],[a;b]);
```

### 3.3.2 Scalar Polynomial Variables

Polynomial variables in a sum of squares program are simply polynomials with unknown coefficients (e.g. \( p_1(x) \) in the feasibility problem formulated in Chapter 1). Polynomial variables can obviously be created by declaring its unknown coefficients as decision variables, and then constructing the polynomial itself via some algebraic manipulations. For example, to create a polynomial variable \( v(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \), where \( a, b, \text{ and } c \) are the unknowns, you can use the following commands:

```matlab
>> Program5 = sosdecvar(Program5,[a;b;c]);
>> v = a*x^2 + b*x*y + c*y^2;
```

However, such an approach would be inefficient for polynomials with many coefficients. In such a case, you should use the function `sospolyvar` to declare a polynomial variable:

```matlab
>> [Program6,v] = sospolyvar(Program6,[x^2; x*y; y^2]);
```

In this case \( v \) will be

```matlab
v =
coeff_1*x^2+coeff_2*x*y+coeff_3*y^2
```

We see that `sospolyvar` automatically creates decision variables corresponding to monomials in the vector which is given as the second input argument to it, and then constructs a polynomial variable from these coefficients and monomials. This polynomial variable is returned as the second output argument of `sospolyvar`.

**NOTE:**
1. **sospolyvar** and **sossosvar** (see Section 2.3.4) name the unknown coefficients in a polynomial/SOS variable by `coeff_nnn`, where `nnn` is a number. Names that begin with `coeff_` are reserved for this purpose, and therefore must not be used elsewhere.

2. By default, the decision variables `coeff_nnn` created by **sospolyvar** or **sossosvar** will only be available in the function workspace, and therefore cannot be manipulated in the MATLAB workspace. Sometimes it is desirable to have these decision variables available in the MATLAB workspace, such as when we want to set an objective function of an SOSP that involves one or more of these variables. In this case, a third argument `'wscoeff'` has to be given to **sospolyvar** or **sossosvar**. For example, using

   ```matlab
   >> [Program7,v] = sospolyvar(Program7,[x^2; x*y; y^2],’wscoeff’);
   >> v
   v =
   coeff_1*x^2+coeff_2*x*y+coeff_3*y^2
   ```

   you will be able to directly use `coeff_1` and `coeff_2` in the MATLAB workspace, as shown below.

   ```matlab
   >> w = coeff_1+coeff_2
   w =
   coeff_1+coeff_2
   ```

3. SOSTOOLS requires monomials that are given as the second input argument to **sospolyvar** and **sossosvar** to be unique, meaning that there are no repeated monomials.

### 3.3.3 An Aside: Constructing Vectors of Monomials

We have seen in the previous subsection that for declaring SOSP variables using **sospolyvar** we need to construct a vector whose entries are monomials. While this can be done by creating the individual monomials and arranging them as a vector, SOSTOOLS also provides a function, named `monomials`, that can be used to construct a column vector of monomials with some pre-specified degrees. This will be particularly useful when the vector contains a lot of monomials. The function takes two arguments: the first argument is a vector containing all independent variables in the monomials, and the second argument is a vector whose entries are the degrees of monomials that you want to create. As an example, to construct a vector containing all monomials in `x` and `y` of degree 1, 2, and 3, type the following command:

   ```matlab
   >> VEC = monomials([x; y],[1 2 3])
   ```
VEC =

[ x]
[ y]
[ x^2]
[ x*y]
[ y^2]
[ x^3]
[ x^2*y]
[ x*y^2]
[ y^3]

We clearly see that VEC contains all monomials in \( x \) and \( y \) of degree 1, 2, and 3.

For some problems, such as Lyapunov stability analysis for linear systems with parametric uncertainty, it is desirable to declare polynomials with a certain structure called the multipartite structure. See Section 3.4.4 for a more thorough discussion on this kind of structure. Multipartite polynomials are declared using a monomial vector that also has multipartite structure. To construct multipartite monomial vectors, the command \texttt{mpmonomials} can be used. For example,

\[
\text{>> VEC = mpmonomials}([\{x1; x2\}, [y1; y2], [z1]], \{1:2,1,3\})
\]

VEC =

[ z1^3*x1*y1]
[ z1^3*x1^2*y1]
[ z1^3*x2^2*y1]
[ z1^3*x1*y2]
[ z1^3*x2*y2]

will create a vector of multipartite monomials where the partitions of the independent variables are \( S_1 = \{x_1, x_2\} \), \( S_2 = \{y_1, y_2\} \), and \( S_3 = \{z_1\} \), whose corresponding degrees are 1–2, 1, and 3.

### 3.3.4 Sum of Squares Variables

Sum of squares variables are also polynomials with unknown coefficients, similar to polynomial variables described in Section 3.3.2. The difference is, as its name suggests, that an SOS variable is constrained to be an SOS. This is imposed by internally representing an SOS variable in the Gram matrix form (cf. Section 2.1),

\[
p(x) = Z^T(x)QZ(x)
\]

and requiring the coefficient matrix \( Q \) to be positive semidefinite.

To declare an SOS variable, you must use the function \texttt{sossosvar}. The monomial vector \( Z(x) \) in (3.1) has to be given as the second input argument to the function. Like \texttt{sospolyvar},
this function will automatically declare all decision variables corresponding to the matrix \( Q \). For example, to declare an SOS variable

\[
p(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}^T Q \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix},
\]

(3.2)
type

\[
>> [\text{Program8},p] = \text{sossosvar}([\text{Program8},[x; y]]); 
\]

where the second output argument is the name of the variable. In this example, the coefficient matrix

\[
Q = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{coeff}_1 & \text{coeff}_3 \\
\text{coeff}_2 & \text{coeff}_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3.3)
will be created by the function. When this matrix is substituted into the expression for \( p(x, y) \), we obtain

\[
p(x, y) = \text{coeff}_1 x^2 + (\text{coeff}_2 + \text{coeff}_3) x y + \text{coeff}_4 y^2,
\]

(3.4)
which is exactly what \text{sossosvar} returns:

\[
>> p \\
p = \\
\text{coeff}_4 y^2 + (\text{coeff}_2 + \text{coeff}_3) x y + \text{coeff}_1 x^2 
\]

We would like to note that at first the coefficient matrix does not appear to be symmetric, especially because the number of decision variables (which seem to be independent) is the same as the number of entries in the coefficient matrix. However, some constraints are internally imposed by the semidefinite programming solver SeDuMi/SDPT3 (which are used by SOSTOOLS) on some of these decision variables, such that the solution matrix obtained by the solver will be symmetric. The primal formulation of a semidefinite program in SeDuMi/SDPT3 uses \( n^2 \) decision variables to represent an \( n \times n \) positive semidefinite matrix, which is the reason why SOSTOOLS also uses \( n^2 \) decision variables for its \( n \times n \) coefficient matrices.

SOSTOOLS includes a custom function \text{findsos} that will compute, if feasible, the sum of squares decomposition of a polynomial \( p(x) \) into the sum of \( m \) polynomials \( f_i(x) \) as in (2.1), the Gram matrix \( Q \) and vector of monomials \( Z \) corresponding to (3.1). The function is called as shown below:

\[
>> [Q,Z,f] = \text{findsos}(P); 
\]

where \( f \) is a vector of length \( m = \text{rank}(Q) \) containing the functions \( f_i \). If the problem is infeasible then empty matrices are returned. This example is expanded upon in \text{SOSDEMO1} in Chapter 4.

### 3.3.5 Matrix Variables

For many problems it may be necessary to construct matrices of polynomial or sum of squares polynomials decision variables, i.e. matrices whose elements are themselves polynomials or sum of squares polynomials with unknown coefficients. Such decision variables can be respectively declared using the \text{sospolymatrixvar} or the \text{sossosmatrixvar} function. The \text{sospolymatrixvar} or the \text{sossosmatrixvar} functions take three compulsory input arguments and an optional fourth
symmetry argument. The first two arguments are of the same form as \texttt{sospolyvar} and \texttt{sossosvar},
the first being the sum of squares program, \texttt{prog}, and the second the vector of monomials \texttt{Z(x)}.
The third argument is a row vector specifying the dimension of the matrix. We now illustrate a few
simple examples of the use of the \texttt{sospolymatrixvar} function. First a SOSP must be initialized:

\begin{verbatim}
>> syms x1 x2;
>> x = [x1 x2].';
>> prog = sosprogram(x);
\end{verbatim}

We will now declare two matrices \texttt{P} and \texttt{Q} both of dimension $2 \times 2$ where the entries are real
scalars, i.e. a degree 0 polynomial matrix. Furthermore we will add the constraint that \texttt{Q} must be
symmetric:

\begin{verbatim}
>> [prog,P] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,monomials(x,0),[2 2]);
>> [prog,Q] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,monomials(x,0),[2 2],’symmetric’);
>> P

P =

[coeff_1, coeff_2]
[coeff_3, coeff_4]

>> Q

Q =

[coeff_5, coeff_6]
[coeff_6, coeff_7]
\end{verbatim}

To declare a symmetric matrix where the elements are homogenous quadratic polynomials the
function \texttt{sospolymatrixvar} is called with the following arguments:

\begin{verbatim}
>> [prog,R] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,monomials(x,2),[2 2]);
>> R(1,1)

ans =

coeff_8*x1^2 + coeff_9*x1*x2 + coeff_10*x2^2

>> R(1,2)

ans =

coeff_11*x1^2 + coeff_12*x1*x2 + coeff_13*x2^2
\end{verbatim}
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>> R(2,1)

ans

coeff_11*x1^2 + coeff_12*x1*x2 + coeff_13*x2^2

>> R(2,2)

ans =

coeff_14*x1^2 + coeff_15*x1*x2 + coeff_16*x2^2

In the next section it will be shown how these matrix variables can be incorporated as constraints in sum of squares optimization problems.

3.4 Adding Constraints

Sum of squares program constraints such as (2.3)–(2.4) are added to a sum of squares program using the functions soseq and sosineq.

3.4.1 Equality Constraints

For adding an equality constraint to a sum of squares program, you must use the function soseq. As an example, assume that \( p \) is an SOSP variable, then

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - x^2 = 0 \quad (3.5)
\]

will add the equality constraint to Program9.

3.4.2 Inequality Constraints

Inequality constraints are declared using the function sosineq, whose basic syntax is similar to soseq. For example, type

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - x^2 \geq 0 \quad (3.6)
\]

to add the inequality constraint\(^1\)

However, several differences do exist. In particular, a third argument can be given to sosineq to handle the following cases:

- When there is only one independent variable in the SOSP (i.e., if the polynomials are univariate), a third argument can be given to specify the range of independent variable for which

\(^1\)We remind you that \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - x^2 \geq 0 \) has to be interpreted as \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - x^2 \) being a sum of squares. See the discussion in Section 1.1.
the inequality constraint has to be satisfied. For instance, assume that \( p \) and Program2 are respectively univariate polynomial and univariate SOSP, then

\[
\text{Program2} = \text{sosineq(Program2, diff(p,x) - x^2, [-1 2])};
\]

will add the constraint

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - x^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{for } -1 \leq x \leq 2
\]

(3.7)

to the SOSP. See Sections 3.7 and 3.8 for application examples where this option is used.

- When the left side of the inequality is a high degree sparse polynomial (i.e., containing a few nonzero terms), it is computationally more efficient to impose the SOS condition using a reduced set of monomials (see [20]) in the Gram matrix form. This will result in a smaller size semidefinite program, which is easier to solve. By default, SOSTOOLS does not try to obtain this optimal reduced set of monomials, since this itself takes an additional amount of computational effort (however, SOSTOOLS always does some reasonably efficient and computationally cheap heuristics to reduce the set of monomials). The optimal reduced set of monomials will be computed and used only if a third argument 'sparse' is given to \text{sosineq}, as illustrated by the following command,

\[
\text{Program3} = \text{sosineq(Program3, x^16 + 2*x^8*y^2 + y^4, 'sparse');}
\]

which tests whether or not \( x^{16} + 2x^8y^2 + y^4 \) is a sum of squares. See Section 3.4.3 for a discussion on exploiting sparsity.

- A special sparsity structure that can be easily handled is the multipartite structure. When a polynomial has this kind of structure, the optimal reduced set of monomials in \( Z^T(x)QZ(x) \) can be obtained with a low computational effort. For this, however, it is necessary to give a third argument 'sparsemultipartite' to \text{sosineq}, as well as the partition of the independent variables which form the multipartite structure. As an example,

\[
\text{Program3} = \text{sosineq(Program3, p, 'sparsemultipartite', {[x1,x2],[y1]});}
\]

tests whether or not the multipartite (corresponding to partitioning the independent variables to \{\( x_1, x_2 \)\} and \{\( y_1 \)\}) polynomial \( x_1^4y_1^2 + 2x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 + x_2^2y_1^2 \) is a sum of squares. See Section 3.4.4 for a discussion on the multipartite structure.

NOTE: The function \text{sosineq} will accept matrix arguments in addition to scalar arguments. Matrix arguments are not treated element-wise inequalities. To avoid confusion it is suggested that \text{sosmatrixineq} is used when defining matrix inequalities.

### 3.4.3 Exploiting Sparsity

For a polynomial \( p(x) \), the complexity of computing the sum of squares decomposition \( p(x) = \sum_i p_i^2(x) \) (or equivalently, \( p(x) = Z(x)^T Q Z(x) \), where \( Z(x) \) is a vector of monomials — see [14] for details) depends on two factors: the number of variables and the degree of the polynomial. However when \( p(x) \) has special structural properties, the computation effort can be notably simplified through the reduction of the size of the semidefinite program, removal of degeneracies, and better
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Figure 3.1: Newton polytope for the polynomial \( p(x, y) = 4x^4y^6 + x^2 - xy^2 + y^2 \) (left), and possible monomials in its SOS decomposition (right).

numerical conditioning. Since the initial version of SOSTOOLS, Newton polytopes techniques have been available via the optional argument `sparse` to the function `sosineq`.

The notion of sparseness for multivariate polynomials is stronger than the one commonly used for matrices. While in the matrix case this word usually means that many coefficients are zero, in the polynomial case the specific vanishing pattern is also taken into account. This idea is formalized by using the Newton polytope [25], defined as the convex hull of the set of exponents, considered as vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). It was shown by Reznick in [20] that \( Z(x) \) need only contain monomials whose squared degrees are contained in the convex hull of the degrees of monomials in \( p(x) \). Consequently, for sparse \( p(x) \) the size of the vector \( Z(x) \) and matrix \( Q \) appearing in the sum of squares decomposition can be reduced which results in a decrease of the size of the semidefinite program.

Consider for example the polynomial \( p(x, y) = 4x^4y^6 + x^2 - xy^2 + y^2 \), taken from [16]. Its Newton polytope is a triangle, being the convex hull of the points (4, 6), (2, 0), (1, 2), (2, 0); see Figure 3.1. By the result mentioned above, we can always find a SOS decomposition that contains only the monomials \((1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3)\). By exploiting sparsity, non-negativity of \( p(x, y) \) can thus be verified by solving a semidefinite program of size 5 \( \times \) 5 with 13 constraints. On the other hand, when sparsity is not exploited, we need to solve a 11 \( \times \) 11 semidefinite program with 32 constraints.

When using the argument `sparse`, SOSTOOLS takes any sparsity structure into account, and computes an appropriate set of monomials for the sum of squares decomposition to reduce the size of the semidefinite program as described in the above paragraph. To compute the set of monomials defined by the Newton polytope, SOSTOOLS computes the convex hull of a set of monomials either via:

- the native MATLAB command `convhulln` (which is based on the software QHULL), or
- the specialized external package CDD [7], developed by K. Fukuda.

The choice of the software to be used is determined by the content of the variable `cdd` defined in file `cddpath.m`. By default the variable `cdd` is set to be an empty string. This enables the use of `convhulln`. To use `cdd`, the location of the `cdd` executable file should be assigned to the variable `cdd`. Examples are given in commented code within the file `cddpath.m`. 
Special care is taken with the case when the set of exponents has lower affine dimension than the number of variables (this case occurs for instance for homogeneous polynomials, where the sum of the degrees is equal to a constant), in which case a projection to a lower dimensional space is performed prior to the convex hull computation.

### 3.4.4 Multipartite Structure

In this section we concentrate on a particular structure of polynomials that appears frequently in robust control theory when considering, for instance, Lyapunov function analysis for linear systems with parametric uncertainty. For such a case, the indeterminates that appear in the Lyapunov conditions are Kronecker products of parameters (zeroth order and higher) and state variables (second order). This special structure should be taken into account when constructing the vector $Z(x)$ used in the sum of squares decomposition $p(x) = Z(x)^T Q Z(x)$. Let us first define what we mean by a multipartite polynomial.

A polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ indeterminates, where $x_i = [x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{im_i}]$ given by

$$p(x) = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

is termed multipartite if for all $i \geq 2$, \(\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \alpha_{ik}\) is constant, i.e. the monomials in all but one partition are of homogeneous order. In other words, a multipartite polynomial is homogenous when fixing any $(n - 1)$ blocks of variables, always including the first block.

This special structure of $p(x)$ can be taken into account through its Newton polytope. It has been argued in an earlier section that when considering the SOS decomposition of a sparse polynomial (in which many of the coefficients $c_\alpha$ are zero), the nonzero monomials in $Z(x) = [x^\beta]$ are the ones for which $2\beta$ belongs to the convex hull of the degrees $\alpha$ [20]. What distinguishes this case from the general one, is that the Newton polytope of $p(x)$ is the Cartesian product of the individual Newton polytopes corresponding to the blocks of variables. Hence, the convex hull should only be computed for the individual $\alpha_i$, which significantly reduces the complexity and avoids ill-conditioning in the computation of a degenerate convex hull in a higher dimensional space.

A specific kind of multipartite polynomials important in practice is the one that appears when considering sum of squares matrices. These are matrices with polynomial entries that are positive semi-definite for every value of the indeterminates. Suppose $S \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ is a symmetric matrix, and let $y = [y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ be new indeterminates. The matrix $S$ is a sum of squares (SOS) matrix if the bipartite scalar polynomial $y^T S y$ is a sum of squares in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$. For example, the matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{2 \times 2}$ given by:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 - 2x + 2 & x \\ x & x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a SOS matrix, since

$$y^T S y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ xy_1 \\ y_2 \\ xy_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ xy_1 \\ y_2 \\ xy_2 \end{bmatrix} = (y_1 + xy_2)^2 + (xy_1 - y_1)^2.$$
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in y and will only contain one variable x; the resulting positive semidefinite biform is always a sum of squares [4].

In this manner a SOS matrix in several variables can be converted to a SOS polynomial, whose decomposition is computed using semidefinite programming. Because of the bipartite structure, only monomials in the form \( x^k y^j \) will appear in the vector Z, as mentioned earlier. For example, the above sum of squares matrix can be verified as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{>> } & \quad \text{syms } x \; y1 \; y2 \; \text{real}%; \\
\text{>> } & \quad S = [x^2-2*x+2, \; x; \; x, \; x^2]; \\
\text{>> } & \quad y = [y1; \; y2]; \\
\text{>> } & \quad p = y' \ast S \ast y; \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{prog} = \text{sosprogram}([x,y1,y2]); \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{prog} = \text{sosineq}(/text{prog}, \text{p}, '\text{sparsemultipartite}', \{[x],[y1,y2]\}); \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{prog} = \text{sossolve}(/text{prog});
\end{align*}
\]

3.4.5 Matrix Inequality Constraints

New to SOSTOOLS v3.00 is the function \text{sosmatrixineq} which allows users to specify polynomial matrix inequalities. Matrix inequality constraints are interpreted in SOSTOOLS as follows.

We recall that for a given symmetric matrix \( M \in \mathbb{R}[\theta]^{r \times r} \) we say that \( M \succeq 0 \) if \( y^T M(\theta) y \geq 0 \) for all \( y, \theta \) where \( y = [y_1, \ldots, y_r]^T \).

Alternatively, given a symmetric polynomial matrix \( M \in \mathbb{R}[\theta]^{r \times r} \) we say that \( M(\theta) \) is a sum of squares matrix if there exist a polynomial matrix \( H(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}[\theta]^{s \times r} \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( M(\theta) = H^T(\theta)H(\theta) \). This is equivalent to \( y^T M(\theta) y \) being a sum of squares in \( \mathbb{R}[\theta, y] \), [10].

In SOSTOOLS v3.00 both of the formulations mentioned above are available to the user and are declared using \text{sosmatrixineq}. For a polynomial matrix variable \( M(\theta) \), it allows one to either define expressions such as \( y^T M(\theta) y \) to be a sum of squares polynomial in \( \mathbb{R}[y, \theta] \), or to directly constrain the matrix \( M(\theta) \) to be a sum of squares polynomial matrix in \( \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}[\theta] \).

Once a matrix variable \( M(\theta) \) has been declared using \text{sospolymatrixvar}, we constrain \( y^T M(\theta) y \) to be a sum of squares polynomial using \text{sosmatrixineq} as illustrated below.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{>> } & \quad \text{syms } \text{theta1} \; \text{theta2}; \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{theta} = [\text{theta1}, \; \text{theta2}]; \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{prog} = \text{sosprogram}(/text{theta}); \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{[prog,M]} = \text{sospolymatrixvar}(/text{prog}, \text{monomials}(\text{theta},0:2),[3,3],'\text{symmetric'}); \\
\text{>> } & \quad \text{prog} = \text{sosmatrixineq}(/text{prog}, M, '\text{quadraticMineq'}); \\
\end{align*}
\]

Note that the user does not declare the vector of indeterminates \( y \) as this is handled internally by SOSTOOLS. The naming convention used for the indeterminates is \text{Mvar}_i where the subscript \( i \) is used for indexing\(^3\). In order to save memory, the \text{Mvar}_i variables may be used with multiple inequalities, therefore \( i \) will never be greater than the dimension of the largest matrix inequality.

Some basic error checking has been included that ensures that the matrix argument being passed into \text{sosmatrixineq} is square and symmetric.

Else, one can ask for \( M(\theta) \) to be sum of squares matrix in \( \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}[\theta] \) using the following command:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{>> } & \quad \text{prog} = \text{sosmatrixineq}(/text{prog},M, '\text{Mineq'});
\end{align*}
\]

\(^3\)For this reason \text{Mvar} should not be used as a variable name.
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A special case of polynomial matrix inequalities are Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs). An LMI corresponds to a degree zero polynomial matrix. The following example illustrates how SOSTOOLS can be used as an LMI solver. Consider the LTI dynamical system

\[ \frac{d}{dt} x(t) = Ax(t) \]  

(3.8)

where \( x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \). It is well known that (3.8) is asymptotically stable if and only if there exists a positive definite matrix \( P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) such that \( A^T P + PA < 0 \). The code below illustrates how this can be implemented in SOSTOOLS.

```matlab
>> syms x;
>> G = rss(4,2,2);
>> A = G.a;
>> eps = 1e-6; I = eye(4);
>> prog = sosprogram(x);
>> [prog,P] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,monomials(x,0),[4,4],'symmetric');
>> prog = sosmatrixineq(prog,P-eps*I,'quadraticMineq');
>> deriv = A'*P+P*A;
>> prog = sosmatrixineq(prog,-deriv-eps*I,'quadraticMineq');
>> prog = sossolve(prog);
>> P = double(sosgetsol(prog,P));
>> A

A =

-1.2083  -0.6003   0.0488  -0.2103
-0.6003  -1.6257  0.1917   0.0031
 0.0488   0.1917 -2.1235  -1.0333
-0.2103   0.0031  -1.0333  -1.6770

>> P

P =

 0.5612  -0.1350   0.0190  -0.0542
-0.1350   0.4675   0.0288  -0.0003
 0.0190   0.0288   0.4228  -0.1768
-0.0542  -0.0003  -0.1768   0.4984
```

NOTE: The custom function `findsos` (see example in Section 4.1) of SOSTOOLS v3.00 is overloaded to accept matrix arguments. Let \( M \) be a given symmetric polynomial matrix of dimension \( r \times r \). Calling `findsos` with the argument \( M \) will return the Gram matrix \( Q \), the vector of monomials \( Z \) and the decomposition \( H \) such that

\[ M(x) = H^T(x)H(x) = (I_r \otimes Z(x))^T Q(I_r \otimes Z(x)), \]

where \( I_r \) denotes the \( r \)-dimensional identity matrix. In order to illustrate this functionality, consider the following code for which we extract the SOS matrix decomposition from a solution to a SOS program with matrix constraints.
3.5 Setting an Objective Function

The function `sossetobj` is used to set an objective function in an optimization problem. The objective function has to be a linear function of the decision variables, and will be minimized by the solver. For instance, if \( a \) and \( b \) are symbolic decision variables in an SOSP named `Program4`, then

\[
\text{Program4} = \text{sossetobj}(	ext{Program4}, a-b);
\]

will set

\[
\text{minimize } (a - b)
\]

as the objective of `Program4`.

Sometimes you may want to minimize an objective function that contains one or more reserved variables `coeff_nnn`, which are created by `sospolyvar` or `sossosvar`. These variables are not individually available in the MATLAB workspace by default. You must give the argument `'wscoeff'` to the corresponding `sospolyvar` or `sossosvar` call in order to have these variables available in the MATLAB workspace. This has been described in Section 2.3.2.

3.6 Calling Solver

A sum of squares program that has been completely defined can be solved using `sossolve.m`. For example, to solve `Program5`, the command is

\[
\text{Program5} = \text{sossolve}(	ext{Program5}, \text{options});
\]

This function converts the SOSP into an equivalent SDP, calls the solver defined in field `options.solver` with solver-specific options as fields of the structure `options.params` (e.g. when setting `'SeDuMi'` in `options.solver` it is possible to define the tolerance by setting field `options.params.tol`), and converts the result given by the semidefinite programming solver back into solutions to the original SOSP. If `options` is not specified when calling `sossolve.m`, i.e. it is called with just one argument:

\[
\text{Program5} = \text{sossolve}(	ext{Program5}),
\]

then SeDuMi is called by default with a tolerance of 1e-9. An example illustrating the a user-defined solver and its options is given in Section 4.10.

Typical output that you will get on your screen is shown in Figure 3.2. Several things deserve some explanation:

- **Size** indicates the size of the resulting SDP.
- **Residual norm** is the norm of numerical error in the solution.

- **pinf=1** or **dinf=1** indicate primal or dual infeasibility.

- **numerr=1** gives a warning of numerical inaccuracy. This is usually accompanied by large **Residual norm**. On the other hand, **numerr=2** is a sign of complete failure because of numerical problem.

Size: 10 5


Alg = 2: \( xx \)-corrector, Step-Differentiation, \( \theta = 0.250 \), \( \beta = 0.500 \)

eqs m = 5, order n = 9, dim = 13, blocks = 3

\( \text{nnz}(A) = 13 + 0, \text{nnz}(ADA) = 11, \text{nnz}(L) = 8 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>it</th>
<th>b*y</th>
<th>gap</th>
<th>delta</th>
<th>rate</th>
<th>t/tP*</th>
<th>t/tD*</th>
<th>feas</th>
<th>cg</th>
<th>cg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>7.00E+000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3.03E+000</td>
<td>1.21E+000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.1734</td>
<td>0.9026</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>6.36E-003</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>2.19E-004</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0344</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>0.9786</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>1.99E-005</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.0908</td>
<td>0.9459</td>
<td>0.9450</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>2.37E-006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.1194</td>
<td>0.9198</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>3.85E-007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.1620</td>
<td>0.9095</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>6.43E-008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.1673</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.9034</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>2.96E-009</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.0460</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>5.16E-010</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.1743</td>
<td>0.9025</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-4.00E+000</td>
<td>1.88E-011</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.0365</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>0.9905</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>iter</th>
<th>seconds</th>
<th>digits</th>
<th>c*x</th>
<th>b*y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( |Ax-b| \) | = 9.2e-011, |\( [Ay-c]_+ \) | = 1.1E-011, |\( |x| \) | = 9.2e+000, |\( |y| \) | = 6.8e+000 |

Max-norms: \(||b||=2, ||c|| = 5, ||L|| = 2.00001. \)

Residual norm: 9.2143e-011

- **cpusec**: 0.3900
- **iter**: 10
- **feasratio**: 1.0000
- **pinf**: 0
- **dinf**: 0
- **numerr**: 0

Figure 3.2: Output of SOSTOOLS (some is generated by SeDuMi).
3.7 Getting Solutions

After your sum of squares program has been solved, you can get the solutions to the program using sosgetsol.m. The function takes two arguments, where the first argument is the SOSP, and the second is a symbolic expression, which typically will be an SOSP variable. All decision variables in this expression will be substituted by the numerical values obtained as the solution to the corresponding SDP. Typing

```matlab
>> SOLp1 = sosgetsol(Program6,p1);
```

where \( p_1 \) is a polynomial variable, for example, will return in \( SOLp1 \) a polynomial with some numerical coefficients, which is obtained by substituting all decision variables in \( p_1 \) by the numerical solution to the SOSP Problem6, provided this SOSP has been solved beforehand.

By default, all the numerical values returned by sosgetsol will have a five-digit presentation. If needed, this can be changed by giving the desired number of digits as the third argument to sosgetsol, such as

```matlab
>> SOLp1 = sosgetsol(Program7,p1,12);
```

which will return the numerical solution with twelve digits. Note however, that this does not change the accuracy of the SDP solution, but only its presentation.
Chapter 4

Applications of Sum of Squares Programming

In this chapter we present some problems that can be solved using SOSTOOLS. The majority of the examples here are from [14], except when noted otherwise. Many more application examples and customized files will be included in the near future.

Note: For some of the problems here (in particular, copositivity and equality-constrained ones such as MAXCUT) the SDP formulations obtained by SOSTOOLS are not the most efficient ones, as the special structure of the resulting polynomials is not fully exploited in the current distribution. This will be incorporated in the next release of SOSTOOLS, whose development is already in progress.

4.1 Sum of Squares Test

As mentioned in Chapter 1, testing if a polynomial $p(x)$ is nonnegative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a hard problem, but can be relaxed to the problem of checking if $p(x)$ is an SOS. This can be solved using SOSTOOLS, by casting it as a feasibility problem.

**SOSDEMO1:**

Given a polynomial $p(x)$, determine if

$$p(x) \geq 0$$

(4.1)

is feasible.

Notice that even though there are no explicit decision variables in this SOSP, we still need to solve a semidefinite programming problem to decide if the program is feasible or not.

The MATLAB code for solving this SOSP can be found in `sosdemo1.m`, shown in Figure 4.1, and `sosdemo1p.m` (using polynomial objects), where we consider $p(x) = 2x_1^4 + 2x_1^3x_2 - x_1^2x_2^2 + 5x_2^4$. Since the program is feasible, it follows that $p(x) \geq 0$.

In addition, SOSTOOLS provides a function named `findsos` to find an SOS decomposition of a polynomial $p(x)$. This function returns the coefficient matrix $Q$ and the monomial vector $Z(x)$ which are used in the Gram matrix form. For the same polynomial as above, we may as well type

```matlab
>> [Q,Z] = findsos(p);
```

to find $Q$ and $Z(x)$ such that $p(x) = Z^T(x)QZ(x)$. If $p(x)$ is not a sum of squares, the function will return empty $Q$ and $Z$.
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For certain applications, it is particularly important to ensure that the SOS decomposition found numerically by SDP methods actually corresponds to a true solution, and is not the result of roundoff errors. This is specially true in the case of ill-conditioned problems, since SDP solvers can sometimes produce in this case unreliable results. There are several ways of doing this, for instance using backwards error analysis, or by computing rational solutions, that we can fully verify symbolically. Towards this end, we have incorporated an experimental option to round to rational numbers a candidate floating point SDP solution, in such a way to produce an exact SOS representation of the input polynomial (which should have integer or rational coefficients). The procedure will succeed if the computed solution is “well-centered,” far away from the boundary of the feasible set; the details of the rounding procedure will be explained elsewhere.

Currently, this facility is available only through the customized function findsos, by giving an additional input argument `rational`. On future releases, we may extend this to more general SOS program formulations. We illustrate its usage below. Running

```matlab
>> syms x y;
>> p = 4*x^4*y^6+x^2-x*y^2+y^2;
>> [Q,Z]=findsos(p,'rational');
```

we obtain a rational sum of squares representation for \( p(x, y) \) given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
y \\
x \\
xy \\
xy^2 \\
x^2y^3
\end{bmatrix}^T
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
y \\
x \\
xy \\
xy^2 \\
x^2y^3
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where the matrix is given by the symbolic variable \( Q \), and \( Z \) is the vector of monomials. When polynomial object is used, three output arguments should be given to findsos:

```matlab
>> pvar x y;
>> p = 4*x^4*y^6+x^2-x*y^2+y^2;
>> [Q,Z,D]=findsos(p,'rational');
```

In this case, \( Q \) is a matrix of integers and \( D \) is a scalar integer. The variables are related via:

\[
p(x, y) = \frac{1}{D} Z^T(x, y) Q Z(x, y).
\]

### 4.2 Lyapunov Function Search

The Lyapunov stability theorem (see e.g. [9]) has been a cornerstone of nonlinear system analysis for several decades. In principle, the theorem states that a system \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) with equilibrium at the origin is stable if there exists a positive definite function \( V(x) \) such that the derivative of \( V \) along the system trajectories is non-positive.

We will now show how to search for Lyapunov function using SOSTOOLS. Consider the system

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 \\
\dot{x}_3
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
-x_1^3 - x_1x_3^2 \\
-x_2^3 - x_2x_2^2 \\
-x_3 - \frac{3x_3^3}{x_3^2 + 1} + 3x_1^2x_3
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(4.2)
% SOSDEMO1 --- Sum of Squares Test
% Section 3.1 of SOSTOOLS User’s Manual
%
clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2;
vartable = [x1, x2];
% ==============================================================
% First, initialize the sum of squares program
% prog = sosprogram(vartable);  % No decision variables.
% ==============================================================
% Next, define the inequality

% p(x1,x2) >= 0
p = 2*x1^4 + 2*x1^3*x2 - x1^2*x2^2 + 5*x2^4;
prog = sosineq(prog,p);

% ==============================================================
% And call solver
%solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
[prog,info] = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);

% ==============================================================
% If program is feasible, p(x1,x2) is an SOS.
echo off;

Figure 4.1: Sum of squares test – sosdemo1.m
with an equilibrium at the origin. Notice that the linearization of (4.2) has zero eigenvalue, and therefore cannot be used to analyze local stability of the equilibrium. Now assume that we are interested in a quadratic Lyapunov function \( V(x) \) for proving stability of the system. Then \( V(x) \) must satisfy

\[
V - \epsilon (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \geq 0,
\]

\[
-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2} \dot{x}_2 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_3} \dot{x}_3 \geq 0.
\]

The first inequality, with \( \epsilon \) being any constant greater than zero, is needed to guarantee positive definiteness of \( V(x) \). However, notice that \( \dot{x}_3 \) is a rational function, and therefore (4.3) is not a valid SOSP constraint. But since \( x_3^2 + 1 > 0 \) for any \( x_3 \), we can just reformulate (4.3) as

\[
-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1} (x_3^2 + 1) \dot{x}_1 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2} (x_3^2 + 1) \dot{x}_2 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_3} (x_3^2 + 1) \dot{x}_3 \geq 0.
\]

Thus, we have the following SOSP (we choose \( \epsilon = 1 \)):

\[
\text{SOSDEMO2:}
\]

Find a polynomial \( V(x) \), such that

\[
V - (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \geq 0,
\]

\[
-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1} (x_3^2 + 1) \dot{x}_1 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2} (x_3^2 + 1) \dot{x}_2 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_3} (x_3^2 + 1) \dot{x}_3 \geq 0.
\]

The MATLAB code is available in sosdemo2.m (or sosdemo2p.m, when polynomial objects are used), and is also shown in Figure 4.2. The result given by SOSTOOLS is

\[
V(x) = 5.5489x_1^2 + 4.1068x_2^2 + 1.7945x_3^2.
\]

The function findlyap is provided by SOSTOOLS and can be used to compute a polynomial Lyapunov function for a dynamical system with polynomial vector field. This function take three arguments, where the first argument is the vector field of the system, the second argument is the ordering of the independent variables, and the third argument is the degree of the Lyapunov function. Thus, for example, to compute a quadratic Lyapunov function \( V(x) \) for the system

\[
\dot{x}_1 = -x_1^3 + x_2,
\]

\[
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 - x_2,
\]

type

```
>> syms x1 x2;
>> V = findlyap([-x1^3+x2; -x1-x2],[x1; x2],2)
```

If no such Lyapunov function exists, the function will return an empty \( V \).

### 4.3 Global and Constrained Optimization

Consider the problem of finding a lower bound for the global minimum of a function \( f(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). This problem is addressed in [23], where an SOS-based approach was first used. A relaxation
clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2 x3;
vars = [x1; x2; x3];

f = [-x1^3-x1*x3^2;
-2*x2-x1^2*x2;
-x3+3*x1^2*x3-3*x3/(x3^2+1)];

% First, initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram(vars);

% The Lyapunov function V(x):
[prog,V] = sospolyvar(prog,[x1^2; x2^2; x3^2],'wscoeff');

% Next, define SOSP constraints
% Constraint 1 : V(x) - (x1^2 + x2^2 + x3^2) >= 0
prog = sosineq(prog,V-(x1^2+x2^2+x3^2));

% Constraint 2: -dV/dx*(x3^2+1)*f >= 0
expr = -(diff(V,x1)*f(1)+diff(V,x2)*f(2)+diff(V,x3)*f(3))*(x3^2+1);
prog = sosineq(prog,expr);

% And call solver
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);

% Finally, get solution
SOLV = sosgetsol(prog,V)
echo off;
method can be formulated as follows. Suppose that there exists a scalar $\gamma$ such that 

$$ f(x) - \gamma \geq 0 \text{ (is an SOS)}, $$

then we know that $f(x) \geq \gamma$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In this example we will use the Goldstein-Price test function [8], which is given by

$$ f(x) = \left[ 1 + (x_1 + x_2 + 1)^2(19 - 14x_1 + 3x_1^2 - 14x_2 + 6x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2) \right] \ldots $$

$$ \ldots \left[ 30 + (2x_1 - 3x_2)^2(18 - 32x_1 + 12x_1^2 + 48x_2 - 36x_1x_2 + 27x_2^2) \right]. $$

The SOSP for this problem is

**SOSDEMO3:**

Minimize $-\gamma$, such that

$$ (f(x) - \gamma) \geq 0. \quad (4.6) $$

Figure 4.3 depicts the MATLAB code for this problem. The optimal value of $\gamma$, as given by SOSTOOLS, is $\gamma_{\text{opt}} = 3$.

This is in fact the global minimum of $f(x)$, which is achieved at $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = -1$.

The function `findbound` is provided by SOSTOOLS and can be used to find a global lower bound for a polynomial. This function takes just one argument, the polynomial to be minimized. The function will return a lower bound (which may possibly be $-\infty$), a vector with the variables of the polynomial, and, if an additional condition is satisfied (the dual solution has rank one), also a point where the bound is achieved. Thus, for example, to compute a global minimum for the polynomial:

$$ F = (a^4 + 1)(b^4 + 1)(c^4 + 1)(d^4 + 1) + 2a + 3b + 4c + 5d, $$

you would type:

```matlab
>> syms a b c d;
>> F = (a^4+1)*(b^4+1)*(c^4+1)*(d^4+1) + 2*a + 3*b + 4*c + 5*d;
>> [bnd,vars,xopt] = findbound(F)
```

For this problem (a polynomial of total degree 16 in four variables), SOSTOOLS returns a certified lower bound ($bnd=-7.759027$) and also the corresponding optimal point in less than thirty seconds.

In the current version, `findbound` can also be used to compute bounds for constrained polynomial optimization problems of the form:

minimize $f(x)$

subject to $g_i(x) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, ..., M$

$h_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1, ..., N$. 
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% SOSDEMO3 --- Bound on Global Extremum
% Section 3.3 of SOSTOOLS User’s Manual
%

clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2 gam;
vartable = [x1, x2];
% =============================================
% First, initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram(vartable);
%
% =============================================
% Declare decision variable gam too
prog = sosdecvar(prog,[gam]);
%
% =============================================
% Next, define SOSP constraints
%
% Constraint : r(x)*(f(x) - gam) >= 0
% f(x) is the Goldstein-Price function
f1 = x1+x2+1;
f2 = 19-14*x1+3*x1^2-14*x2+6*x1*x2+3*x2^2;
f3 = 2*x1-3*x2;
f4 = 18-32*x1+12*x1^2+48*x2-36*x1*x2+27*x2^2;
f = (1+f1^2*f2)*(30+f3^2*f4);

prog = sosineq(prog,(f-gam));
%
% =============================================
% Set objective : maximize gam
prog = sossetobj(prog,-gam);
%
% =============================================
% And call solver
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);
%
% Finally, get solution
SOLgamma = sosgetsol(prog,gam)
echo off

Figure 4.3: Bound on global extremum – sosdemo3.m
A lower bound for \( f(x) \) can be computed using Positivstellensatz-based relaxations. Assume that there exists a set of sums of squares \( \sigma_j(x) \)'s, and a set of polynomials \( \lambda_i(x) \)'s, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) - \gamma &= \sigma_0(x) + \sum_j \lambda_j(x)h_j(x) + \sum_i \sigma_i(x)g_i(x) + \sum_{i_1,i_2} \sigma_{i_1,i_2}(x)g_{i_1}(x)g_{i_2}(x) + \cdots ,
\end{align*}
\]

then it follows that \( \gamma \) is a lower bound for the constrained optimization problem stated above. This specific kind of representation corresponds to Schmüdgen’s theorem [22]. By maximizing \( \gamma \), we can obtain a lower bound that becomes increasingly tighter as the degree of the expression (4.7) is increased.

As an example, consider the problem of minimizing \( x_1 + x_2 \), subject to \( x_1 \geq 0, \ x_2 \geq 0, \ x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1, \ x_2 - x_1^2 - 0.5 = 0 \). A lower bound for this problem can be computed using SOSTOOLS as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{>> syms x1 x2;} \\
\text{>> degree = 4;} \\
\text{>> [gam,vars,opt] = findbound(x1+x2,[x1, x2-0.5],...}
\text{[x1^2+x2^2-1, x2-x1^2-0.5],degree);} \\
\end{align*}
\]

In the above command, \textit{degree} is the desired degree for the expression (4.7). The function \textit{findbound} will automatically form the products \( g_{i_1}(x)g_{i_2}(x) \), \( g_{i_1}(x)g_{i_2}(x)g_{i_3}(x) \) and so on; and then construct the sum of squares and polynomial multiplier \( \sigma(x) \)'s, \( \lambda(x) \)'s, such that the degree of the whole expression is no greater than \textit{degree}. For this example, a lower bound of the optimization problem is \( \text{gam} = 1.3911 \) corresponding to the optimal solution \( x_1 = 0.5682, \ x_2 = 0.8229 \), which can be extracted from the output argument \textit{opt}.

### 4.4 Matrix Copositivity

The matrix copositivity problem can be stated as follows:

Given a matrix \( J \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), check if it is copositive, i.e. if \( y^TJy \geq 0 \) for all \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( y_i \geq 0 \).

It is known that checking copositivity of a matrix is a co-NP complete problem. However, there exist computationally tractable relaxations for copositivity checking. One relaxation [14] is performed by writing \( y_i = x_i^2 \), and checking if

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \right)^m \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n^2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \leq R(x)
\]

is an SOS.

Now consider the matrix

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
4.5. UPPER BOUND OF STRUCTURED SINGULAR VALUE

It is known that the matrix above is copositive. This will be proven using SOSTOOLS. For this purpose, we have the following SOSP.

**SOSDEMO4:**

Determine if

\[ R(x) \geq 0, \]  

is feasible, where \( R(x) \) is as in (4.8).

Choosing \( m = 0 \) does not prove that \( J \) is copositive. However, DEMO4 is feasible for \( m = 1 \), and therefore it proves that \( J \) is copositive. The MATLAB code that implements this is given in sosdemo4.m and shown in Figure 4.4.

4.5 Upper Bound of Structured Singular Value

Now we will show how SOSTOOLS can be used for computing upper bound of structured singular value \( \mu \), a crucial object in robust control theory (see e.g. [6, 13]). The following conditions can be derived from Proposition 8.25 of [6] and Theorem 6.1 of [14]. Given a matrix \( M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) and structured scalar uncertainties

\[ \Delta = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_n), \quad \delta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \]

the structured singular value \( \mu(M, \Delta) \) is less than \( \gamma \), if there exists solutions \( Q_i \geq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}, T_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n} \) and \( r_{ij} \geq 0 \) such that

\[-n \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i(x) A_i(x) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} r_{ij} A_i(x) A_j(x) + I(x) \geq 0, \]  

(4.10)

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \),

\[ Q_i(x) = x^T Q_i x, \]  

(4.11)

\[ I(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^2, \]  

(4.12)

\[ A_i(x) = x^T A_i x, \]  

(4.13)

\[ A_i = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(H_i) & -\text{Im}(H_i) \\ \text{Im}(H_i) & \text{Re}(H_i) \end{bmatrix}, \]  

(4.14)

\[ H_i = M e_i^* e_i M - \gamma^2 e_i^* e_i, \]  

(4.15)

and \( e_i \) is the \( i \)-th unit vector in \( \mathbb{C}^n \).

Thus, the SOSP for this problem can be formulated as follows.
% SOSDEMO4 --- Matrix Copositivity  
% Section 3.4 of SOSTOOLS User’s Manual  
%

clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
vartable = [x1; x2; x3; x4; x5];

% The matrix under consideration
J = [1 -1 1 1 -1;
     -1 1 -1 1 1;
     1 -1 1 -1 1;
     1 1 -1 1 -1;
     -1 1 1 -1 1];

% First, initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram(vartable); % No decision variables.

% Next, define SOSP constraints
% Constraint : r(x)*J(x) - p(x) = 0
J = [x1^2 x2^2 x3^2 x4^2 x5^2]*J*[x1^2; x2^2; x3^2; x4^2; x5^2];
r = x1^2 + x2^2 + x3^2 + x4^2 + x5^2;
prog = sosineq(prog,r*J);

% And call solver
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sosssolve(prog,solver_opt);

% If program is feasible, the matrix J is copositive.
echo off

Figure 4.4: Matrix copositivity – sosdemo4.m
4.6. MAX CUT

SOSDEMO5:

Choose a fixed value of $\gamma$. For $I(x)$ and $A_i(x)$ as described in (4.12) – (4.15), find sums of squares

$$Q_i(x) = x^T Q_i x, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, 2n,$$

$$r_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (\text{zero order SOS}), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n,$$

such that (4.10) is satisfied.

The optimal value of $\gamma$ can be found for example by bisection. In sosdemo5.m (Figures 4.5–4.6), we consider the following $M$ (from [13]):

$$M = U V^*,$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & b \\ c & jc \\ d & f \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & -b \\ c & -jc \\ -jf & -d \end{bmatrix},$$

with $a = \sqrt{2/\alpha}$, $b = c = 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$, $d = -\sqrt{3/\alpha}$, $f = (1 + j)\sqrt{1/(\alpha \beta)}$, $\alpha = 3 + \sqrt{3}$, $\beta = \sqrt{3} - 1$. It is known that $\mu(M, \Delta) \approx 0.8723$. Using sosdemo5.m, we can prove that $\mu(M, \Delta) < 0.8724$.

4.6 MAX CUT

We will next consider the MAX CUT problem. MAX CUT is the problem of partitioning nodes in a graph into two disjoint sets $V_1$ and $V_2$, such that the weighted number of nodes that have an endpoint in $V_1$ and the other in $V_2$ is maximized. This can be formulated as a boolean optimization problem

$$\max_{x_i \in \{-1,1\}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} (1 - x_i x_j),$$

or equivalently as a constrained optimization

$$\max_{x_i^2 = 1} f(x) \triangleq \max_{x_i^2 = 1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} (1 - x_i x_j).$$

Here $w_{ij}$ is the weight of edge connecting nodes $i$ and $j$. For example we can take $w_{ij} = 0$ if nodes $i$ and $j$ are not connected, and $w_{ij} = 1$ if they are connected. If node $i$ belongs to $V_1$, then $x_i = 1$, and conversely $x_i = -1$ if node $i$ is in $V_2$.

A sufficient condition for $\max_{x_i^2 = 1} f(x) \leq \gamma$ is as follows. Assume that our graph contains $n$ nodes. Given $f(x)$ and $\gamma$, then $\max_{x_i^2 = 1} f(x) \leq \gamma$ if there exists sum of squares $p_1(x)$ and polynomials $p_2(x), \ldots, p_{n+1}(x)$ such that

$$p_1(x)(\gamma - f(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_{i+1}(x)(x_i^2 - 1)) - (\gamma - f(x))^2 \geq 0. \quad (4.16)$$

This can be proved by a contradiction. Suppose there exists $x \in \{-1,1\}^n$ such that $f(x) > \gamma$. Then the first term in (4.16) will be negative, the terms under summation will be zero, and the last term will be negative. Thus we have a contradiction.
clear; echo on;

syms x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7 x8;
vartable = [x1; x2; x3; x4; x5; x6; x7; x8];

alpha = 3 + sqrt(3);
beta = sqrt(3) - 1;
a = sqrt(2/alpha);
b = 1/sqrt(alpha);
c = b;
d = -sqrt(beta/alpha);
f = (1 + i)*sqrt(1/(alpha*beta));
U = [a 0; b b; c i*c; d f];
V = [0 a; b -b; c -i*c; -i*f -d];
M = U*V';

gam = 0.8724;

Z = monomials(vartable,1);
for i = 1:4
    H = M(i,:)'*M(i,:) - (gam^2)*sparse(i,i,1,4,4,1);
    H = [real(H) -imag(H); imag(H) real(H)];
    A{i} = (Z.')*H*Z;
end;

% Constructing A(x)’s
% Initialize the sum of squares program
% Define SOSP variables

% Figure 4.5: Upper bound of structured singular value – sosdemo5.m, part 1 of 2.
4.6. MAX CUT

% -- r's -- : constant sum of squares
Z = monomials(vartable,0);
r = cell(4,4);
for i = 1:4
    for j = (i+1):4
        [prog,r{i,j}] = sososvar(prog,Z,'wscoeff');
    end;
end;

% Next, define SOSP constraints

% Constraint : -sum(Qi(x)*Ai(x)) - sum(rij*Ai(x)*Aj(x)) + I(x) >= 0
expr = 0;
% Adding term
for i = 1:4
    expr = expr - A{i}*Q{i};
end;
for i = 1:4
    for j = (i+1):4
        expr = expr - A{i}*A{j}*r{i,j};
    end;
end;
% Constant term: I(x) = -(x1^4 + ... + x8^4)
I = -sum(vartable.^4);
expr = expr + I;
prog = sosineq(prog,expr);

% And call solver
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sososolve(prog,solver_opt);

% If program is feasible, then 0.8724 is an upper bound for mu.
echo off

Figure 4.6: Upper bound of structured singular value – sosdemo5.m, part 2 of 2.
For sosdemo6.m (see Figure 4.7), we consider the 5-cycle, i.e., a graph with 5 nodes and 5 edges forming a closed chain. The number of cut is given by

\[ f(x) = 2.5 - 0.5x_1x_2 - 0.5x_2x_3 - 0.5x_3x_4 - 0.5x_4x_5 - 0.5x_5x_1. \]  

(4.17)

Our SOSP is as follows.

**SOSDEMO6:**

Choose a fixed value of \( \gamma \). For \( f(x) \) given in (4.17), find

\[
\text{sum of squares } p_i(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}^T Q \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}
\]

polynomials \( p_{i+1}(x) \) of degree 2, for \( i = 1, ..., n \)

such that (4.16) is satisfied.

Using sosdemo6.m, we can show that \( f(x) \leq 4 \). Four is indeed the maximum cut for 5-cycle.

### 4.7 Chebyshev Polynomials

This example illustrates the sosineq range-specification option for univariate polynomials (see Section 2.4.2), and is based on a well-known extremal property of the Chebyshev polynomials.

Consider the optimization problem:

**SOSDEMO7:**

Let \( p_n(x) \) be a univariate polynomial of degree \( n \), with \( \gamma \) being the coefficient of \( x^n \).

Maximize \( \gamma \), subject to:

\[ |p_n(x)| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1]. \]

The absolute value constraint can be easily rewritten using two inequalities, namely:

\[
1 + p_n(x) \geq 0 \\
1 - p_n(x) \geq 0 , \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1].
\]

The optimal solution is \( \gamma^* = 2^{n-1} \), with \( p_n^*(x) = \arccos(\cos nx) \) being the \( n \)-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.

Using sosdemo7.m (shown in Figure 4.8), the problem can be easily solved for small values of \( n \) (say \( n \leq 13 \)), with SeDuMi aborting with numerical errors for larger values of \( n \). This is due to the ill-conditioning of the problem (at least, when using the standard monomial basis).

### 4.8 Bounds in Probability

In this example we illustrate how the sums of squares programming machinery can be used to obtain bounds on the worst-case probability of an event, given some moment information on the
clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
vartable = [x1; x2; x3; x4; x5];

% Number of cuts
f = 2.5 - 0.5*x1*x2 - 0.5*x2*x3 - 0.5*x3*x4 - 0.5*x4*x5 - 0.5*x5*x1;

% Boolean constraints
bc{1} = x1^2 - 1 ;
bc{2} = x2^2 - 1 ;
bc{3} = x3^2 - 1 ;
bc{4} = x4^2 - 1 ;
bc{5} = x5^2 - 1 ;

% First, initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram(vartable);

% Then define SOSP variables
% -- p1(x) -- : sum of squares
% Monomial vector: 5 independent variables, degree <= 1
Z = monomials(vartable,[0 1]);
[prog,p{1}] = sossosvar(prog,Z);

% -- p2(x) ... p6(x) : polynomials
% Monomial vector: 5 independent variables, degree <= 2
Z = monomials(vartable,0:2);
for i = 1:5
    [prog,p{i+1}] = sospolyvar(prog,Z);
end;

% Next, define SOSP constraints
% Constraint : p1(x)*(gamma - f(x)) + p2(x)*bc1(x) + ... + p6(x)*bc5(x) - (gamma-f(x))^2 >= 0
gamma = 4;
expr = p{1}*(gamma-f);
for i = 2:6
    expr = expr + p{i}*bc{i-1};
end;
expr = expr - (gamma-f)^2;
prog = sosineq(prog,expr);

% And call solver
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);

% If program is feasible, 4 is an upper bound for the cut.
echo off

Figure 4.7: MAX CUT – sosdemo6.m.
% SOSDEMO7 --- Chebyshev polynomials
% Section 3.7 of SOSTOOLS User’s Manual

clear; echo on;

ndeg = 8; % Degree of Chebyshev polynomial

syms x gam;

% ==============================================================
% First, initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram([x],[gam]);

% Create the polynomial P
Z = monomials(x,[0:ndeg-1]);
[prog,P1] = sospolyvar(prog,Z);
P = P1 + gam * x^ndeg; % The leading coeff of P is gam

% Imposing the inequalities
prog = sosineq(prog, 1 - P, [-1, 1]);
prog = sosineq(prog, 1 + P, [-1, 1]);

% And setting objective
prog = sossetobj(prog, -gam);

% Then solve the program
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);

% ==============================================================
% Finally, get solution
SOLV = sosgetsol(prog, P)
GAM = sosgetsol(prog, gam)

echo off

Figure 4.8: Chebyshev polynomials – sosdemo7.m.
distribution. We refer the reader to the work of Bertsimas and Popescu [1] for a detailed discussion of the general case, as well as references to earlier related work.

Consider an unknown arbitrary probability distribution \( q(x) \), with support in \( x \in [0, 5] \). We know that its mean \( \mu \) is equal to 1, and its standard deviation \( \sigma \) is equal to \( 1/2 \). The question is: what is the worst-case probability, over all feasible distributions, of a sample having \( x \geq 4 \)?

Using the tools in [1], it can be shown that a bound on (or in this case, the optimal) worst case value can be found by solving the optimization problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Minimize} & \quad am_0 + bm_1 + cm_2, \\
\text{subject to} & \quad a + bx + cx^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in [0, 5], \\
& \quad a + bx + cx^2 \geq 1, \quad \forall x \in [4, 5],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m_0 = 1, m_1 = \mu, \text{ and } m_2 = \mu^2 + \sigma^2 \).

The optimization problem above is clearly an SOSP, and is implemented in sosdemo8.m (shown in Figure 4.9).

The optimal bound, computed from the optimization problem, is equal to \( 1/37 \), with the optimal polynomial being \( a + bx + cx^2 = \left( \frac{12x-11}{37} \right)^2 \). The worst case probability distribution is atomic:

\[
q^*(x) = \frac{36}{37} \delta(x - \frac{11}{12}) + \frac{1}{37} \delta(x - 4).
\]

All these values (actually, their floating point approximations) can be obtained from the numerical solution obtained using SOSTOOLS.
clear; echo on;
syms x a b c;

% The probability adds up to one.
m0 = 1;

% Mean
m1 = 1;

% Variance
sig = 1/2;

% E(x^2)
m2 = sig^2*m1^2;

% Support of the random variable
R = [0,5];

% Event whose probability we want to bound
E = [4,5];

% Constructing and solving the SOS program
prog = sosprogram([x],[a,b,c]);
P = a + b*x + c*x^2;

% Nonnegative on the support
prog = sosineq(prog, P,R);

% Greater than one on the event
prog = sosineq(prog,P-1,E);

% The bound
bnd = a * m0 + b * m1 + c * m2;

% Objective: minimize the bound
prog = sossetobj(prog, bnd);

solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);

% Get solution
BND = sosgetsol(prog,bnd,16)
PP = sosgetsol(prog,P);

echo off;

Figure 4.9: Bounds in probability – sosdemo8.m.
4.9 SOS Matrix Decomposition

This example illustrates how SOSTOOLS v3.00 can be used to determine if an $r \times r$ polynomial matrix $P$ is an SOS matrix. Furthermore, if $P$ is determined to be SOS then it is shown how the matrix decomposition $P(x) = H^T(x)H(x)$ can be computed.

**SOSDEMO9:**

Given a symmetric polynomial matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{r \times r}$ determine if $P$ is an SOS matrix and if so compute the polynomial matrix $H(x)$ such that

$$P(x) = H^T(x)H(x). \quad (4.18)$$

The above feasibility problem is implemented in sosdemo9.m for the matrix

$$P(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^4 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_1^2 x_3^2 & x_1 x_2 x_3^2 - x_1^3 x_2 - x_1 x_2(x_2^2 + 2x_3^2) \\ x_1 x_2 x_3^2 - x_1^3 x_2 - x_1 x_2(x_2^2 + 2x_3^2) & x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_2^2 x_3^2 + (x_2^2 + 2x_3^2)^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The code in Figure 4.10 can be used to compute a matrix decomposition. The `findsos` function returns the arguments $Q$, $Z$ and $Hso1$ such that

$$H(x) = (I_r \otimes Z(x))^TQ(I_r \otimes Z(x))$$

where $I_r$ is the $r \times r$ identity matrix, $Q$ is a positive semidefinite matrix and $Z(x)$ is a vector of monomials.
% SOSDEMO9 --- Matrix SOS decomposition
% Section 3.9 of SOSTOOLS User’s Manual
%
clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2 x3;
var_table = [x1, x2, x3];
% ====================================================
% First, initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram(var_table); % No decision variables.
% ==============================================================
% Consider the following candidate sum of squares matrix P(x)
P = [x1^4 + x1^2 * x2^2 + x1^2 * x3^2 x1 * x2 * x3^2 - x1^3 * x2 - x1 * x2 * (x2^2 + 2 * x3^2);
   x1 * x2 * x3^2 - x1^3 * x2 - x1 * x2 * (x2^2 + 2 * x3^2) x1^2 * x2^2 + x2^2 * x3^2 + (x2^2 + 2 * x3^2)^2];
% Test if P(x1,x2,x3) is an SOS matrix and return H so that P = H.'*H
options.solver = 'sedumi';
[Q,Z,H] = findsos(P,[],options);
% ==============================================================
% If program is feasible, P(x1,x2,x3) is an SOS matrix.

echo off;

Figure 4.10: Computing a SOS matrix decomposition – sosdemo9.m.
4.10 Set Containment

This example illustrates how SOSTOOLS v3.01 can be used to compute the entries of a polynomial matrix $P$ such that it is an SOS matrix.

It has been shown in [28] that if the matrix $P(x)$ given by

$$
P(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
\theta^2 - s(x)(\gamma - p(x)) & g_0(x) + g_1(x) \\
g_0(x) + g_1(x) & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{4.19}
$$

is an SOS matrix, then the following set containment holds:

$$\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | p(x) \leq \gamma \} \subseteq \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | ((g_0(x) + g_1(x)) + \theta)(\theta - (g_0(x) + g_1(x))) \geq 0 \}. \tag{4.20}
$$

where given are $p(x)$, a positive polynomial, $g_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, and $\theta, \gamma > 0$ are positive scalars. If a polynomial $g_1(x)$ and SOS multiplier $s(x)$ are found, then the set containment holds. This problem is a sum of squares feasibility problem, the code for this demo is given in Figure 4.11.

**SOSDEMO10:**

Given $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $g_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, find

- polynomial $g_1(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$
- Sum of Squares $s(x)$

such that (4.19) is a sum of squares matrix.

The feasibility test above is formulated and solved in **sosdemo10.m** for $p(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$, $\gamma = \theta = 1$ and $g_0 = 2x_1$, a sum of squares variable $s(x)$ of degree 4 and a polynomial variable $g_1(x)$ containing monomials of degrees 2 and 3. This example illustrates the use of function sosineq having a matrix as an input argument.
% SOSDEMO10 --- Set containment
% Section 3.10 of SOSTOOLS User’s Manual
%
clear; echo on;
syms x1 x2;
vartable = [x1 x2];

eps = 1e-6;
% ==============================================================
% This is the problem data
p = x1^2 + x2^2;
gamma = 1;
g0 = [2 0]*[x1;x2];
theta = 1;

% ==============================================================
% Initialize the sum of squares program
prog = sosprogram(vartable);

% ==============================================================
% The multiplier
Zmon = monomials(vartable,0:4);
[prog,s] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,Zmon,[1 1]);

% ==============================================================
% Term to be added to g0
Zmon = monomials(vartable,2:3);
[prog,g1] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,Zmon,[1 1]);

% ==============================================================
% The expression to satisfy the set containment
Sc = [theta^2 - s*(gamma-p) g0+g1; g0+g1 1];
prog = sosmatrixineq(prog,Sc-eps*eye(2));
solver_opt.solver = 'sedumi';
prog = sossolve(prog,solver_opt);

s = sosgetsol(prog,s);
g1 = sosgetsol(prog,g1);
% ==============================================================
% If program is feasible, \( \{ x \mid ((g0+g1) + \theta)(\theta - (g0+g1)) \geq 0 \} \) contains \( \{ x \mid p \leq \gamma \} \)

echo off;

Figure 4.11: Set containment – sosdemo10.m.
Chapter 5

Interfaces to Additional Packages

The following packages have been written by researchers to extend the functionality of SOSTOOLS. Below is a brief description of these packages and instructions on how to install them. Please refer to their official documentation for the full details. For technical queries please contact the relevant authors.

5.1 INTSOSTOOLS

The INTSOSTOOLS package (available from https://github.com/gvalmorbida/INTSOSTOOLS) is a plug-in for SOSTOOLS for the formulation of optimization problems subject to one-dimensional integral inequalities such as

\[
\maximize \lambda \\
\text{subject to } \int_0^1 (f(\theta, u(\theta)) - \lambda) d\theta \geq 0.
\]

(5.1)

For polynomial problem data, these optimization problems can be solved using semidefinite programming via SOSTOOLS. The functionalities of the package and examples are detailed in [27].

5.2 frlib

The frlib package [17] (available from https://github.com/frankpermenter/frlib) provides a pre-processing step that performs a facial reduction on the positive semidefinite cone in order to produce a simplified SDP. Typically finding the appropriate face (that contains the feasible set) of the cone to optimize over is itself an SDP, moreover it is often too expensive to actually solve this SDP as a pre-processing step. However frlib provides a method that optimizes over a specific approximation of the cone that leads to a subset of faces that can be optimized over. Currently the approximations available are non-negative diagonal ($D^n$) and diagonally dominant ($DD^n$) approximations, where

\[ D^n := \{ Q \in S^n \mid Q_{ii} \geq 0, Q_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \} \]

and

\[ DD^n := \left\{ Q \in S^n \mid Q_{ii} \geq \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} |Q_{ij}| \right\}. \]

approximate the cone of $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrices. It can be shown that $D^n \subset DD^n$. 

CHAPTER 5. INTERFACES TO ADDITIONAL PACKAGES

5.2.1 Example code

In order to invoke a call to frlib the `options` command must be used as illustrated in the code segment below. The user must choose whether to use the $D^n$ or $DD^n$ approximation of the positive semidefinite cone using the code `options.frlib.approx = x` where $x$ is either 'd' or 'dd'. Additionally it can be specified whether or not to use a QR decomposition in the numerical implementation. The code below selects the $DD^n$ approximation, a QR decomposition, and sets the solver as SeDuMi:

```matlab
>> options.frlib.approx = 'dd';
>> options.frlib.useQR = 1;
>> options.solver = 'sedumi';
>> prog = sossolve(prog,options);
```

The output shown below indicates that frlib has found a reduction and the solver has been called.
5.2. FRLIB

Using frlib toolbox for additional pre-processing...

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
frlib: reductions found!
-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dim PSD constraint(s) (original): 3 13
Dim PSD constraint(s) (reduced): 3 10

Alg = 2: xz-corrector, Adaptive Step-Differentiation, theta = 0.250, beta = 0.500
Put 3 free variables in a quadratic cone
eqs m = 44, order n = 16, dim = 114, blocks = 4
nnz(A) = 76 + 0, nnz(ADA) = 1540, nnz(L) = 792

it : b*y gap delta rate t/tP* t/tD* feas cg cg prec
0 : 1.92E+00 0.000
1 : 2.94E+00 3.97E-01 0.000 0.2072 0.9000 0.9000 -0.87 1 1 3.1E+00
2 : 1.84E+00 7.36E-02 0.000 0.1856 0.9000 0.9000 0.10 1 1 9.1E-01
3 : 4.99E-02 1.48E-03 0.000 0.0200 0.9900 0.9900 0.10 1 1 9.1E-01
4 : 4.15E-04 1.23E-05 0.000 0.0083 0.9990 0.9990 0.10 1 1 9.1E-01
5 : 3.45E-06 1.02E-07 0.000 0.0036 0.9990 0.9990 0.10 1 1 9.1E-01
6 : 2.86E-08 3.71E-10 0.000 0.00036 0.9990 0.9990 0.10 1 1 6.4E-09

iter seconds digits c*x b*y
6 0.2 Inf 0.00000000000e+00 2.8644510334e-08
|Ax-b| = 2.0e-09, [Ay-c]_=+ = 1.1E-09, |x|= 4.2e+01, |y|= 2.6e-08

Detailed timing (sec)
Pre IPM Post
1.365E-01 1.969E-01 4.535E-02
Max-norms: ||b||=1, ||c|| = 0,
Cholesky |add|=0, |skip| = 0, ||L.L|| = 7.95584.
------
Facial reduction applied using frlib: Primal and Dual solution available
------

Figure 5.1: SOSTOOLS output when using frlib - sosdemo2.m.
Chapter 6

Inside SOSTOOLS

In this chapter the data structures that underpin SOSTOOLS are described. The information in this section can help advanced users to manipulate the sosprogram structure in order to create additional functionality. It is assumed from this point on that the user has a strong working knowledge of the functions described in the previous chapter.

As described in Chapter 3 an SOSP is initialized using the command

```matlab
>> syms x y z;
>> prog = sosprogram([x;y;z]);
```

The command above will initialize an empty SOSP called `prog` with variables `x`, `y` and `z` and returns the following structure:

```matlab
>> prog
prog =
```

```
var: [1x1 struct]
expr: [1x1 struct]
extravar: [1x1 struct]
objective: []
solinfo: [1x1 struct]
vartable: ' [x,y,z]'
symvartable: [3x1 sym]
varmat: []
decvartable: ' []'
symdecvartable: []
```

The various fields above are populated by the addition of new decision variables (polynomials and sum of squares polynomials), constraints (equality and inequality\(^1\)) and the inclusion of an objective function. The contents and structure of each of these fields will now be described and specifically related to the construction of the underlying SDP.

We will illustrate the program structure by constructing a typical sum of squares program that is similar to SOSDEMO2. The first fields to be populated are `prog.symvartable` and `prog.vartable`.\(^2\)

The following output will be seen:

\(^1\) Recall that the inequality \(h(x) \geq 0\) is interpreted as \(h(x)\) having a sum of squares decomposition.

\(^2\) It is assumed that the symbolic toolbox is being used.
>> prog.symvartable

prog.symvartable =
    x
    y
    z

>> prog.vartable

prog.vartable =
    [x, y, z]

Note that if the symbolic toolbox is not being used then the prog.symvartable field will not exist and prog.vartable (which is a character array) is used instead.

Next, a polynomial variable \( V \) in the monomials \( x^2, y^2, z^2 \) is declared using

```matlab
>> [prog, V] = sospolyvar(prog, [x^2; y^2; z^2]);
```

which sets the field prog.var as follows:

```matlab
>> prog.var

 prog.var =
    num: 1
    type: {'poly'}
    Z: {[3x3 double]}
    ZZ: {[3x3 double]}
    T: {[3x3 double]}
    idx: {[1] [4]}
```

The field prog.var.num is an integer that gives the number of variables declared. In this case there is only one variable \( V \), as such each of the remaining fields (excluding prog.var.idx) contains a single entry. As more variables are declared these fields will contain arrays where each element corresponds to exactly one variable. The type of variable, i.e. polynomial or sum of squares polynomial, is indicated by prog.var.type: for example an SOSP with two polynomials and a sum of squares polynomial variable would result in

```matlab
>> prog.var.type

 prog.var.type =
    'poly' 'poly' 'sos'
```

Returning to the example, recall that the polynomial \( V \) consists of three monomials \( x^2, y^2, z^2 \) with unknown coefficients. The field prog.var.Z is the monomial degree matrix whose entries are the monomial exponents. For a polynomial in \( n \) variables containing \( m \) monomials this matrix will have dimension \( m \times n \). In this case \( V \) is clearly a \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix with 2's on the diagonal. A more illuminating example is given below.
>> [prog,h] = sospolyvar(prog,[x^2; y^2; z^2; x*y*z; x^2*y]);
>> full(prog.var.Z{2})

ans =
2 0 0
0 2 0
0 0 2
1 1 1
2 1 0

Note that by default this matrix is stored as a sparse matrix. Define the vector of monomials (without coefficients) that describes $V$ by $Z$, i.e. $Z = [x^2, y^2, z^2]^T$. Further, define $W$ to be the vector of pairwise different monomials of the entries of the matrix $ZZ^T$. The exponents of all such monomials are then stored in the degree matrix prog.var.ZZ where the rows corresponding to the unique set of monomials are ordered lexicographically. The field prog.var.idx contains indexing information that is required for constructing the SDP. We will expand upon this indexing further when describing the prog.extravar fields.

The next field of interest is prog.expr which is the primary field where SOSTOOLS stores the user’s constraints. Continuing on with the example we see that there are two constraints in the programme and that both are sum of squares. This can be seen by inspecting prog.expr.num and prog.expr.type respectively.

>> prog.expr

prog.expr =

num: 2
type: {'ineq', 'ineq'}
At: {[3x3 double] [3x10 double]}
b: {[3x1 double] [10x1 double]}
Z: {[3x3 double] [10x3 double]}

Recall that the canonical primal SDP takes the form:

$$\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad c^T x \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad Ax = b \\
& \quad x \in \mathcal{K}
\end{align*}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the symmetric cone of positive semidefinite matrices. Here the two inequalities imposed in the SOSP are converted into their SDP primal form where the field prog.expr.At refers to the transposition of the matrix $A$ in (6.1) and likewise prog.expr.b refers to the vector $b$ in (6.1). Finally the field prog.expr.Z contains the matrices of monomial exponents corresponding to the two inequalities. These take exactly the same form as described above for the field prog.var.Z.

Thus far in the example we have not described the decision variables, i.e. the unknown polynomial coefficients. This will now be illustrated through the constraint $h(y, y, z) = V - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \geq 0$ where $V$ contains only quadratic terms. This inequality is interpreted as a sum of squares inequality of the form

$$h(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}^T Q \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix},$$
where the decision variable is the positive semidefinite matrix $Q$ consisting of the coefficients of the polynomial $V - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$. Here the matrix $Q$ is constrained to be of the form

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix}
  \text{coeff}_1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \text{coeff}_2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \text{coeff}_3
\end{bmatrix} \quad (6.2)$$

and thus the decision variables are the three non-zero coefficients in $Q$. The decision variables can be seen through the `prog.symdecvartable` field

```matlab
>> prog.symdecvartable

prog.symdecvartable =

  coeff_1
  coeff_2
  coeff_3
```

In a similar manner `prog.decvartable` contains the same information but stored as a character array.

This particular example is an SOS feasibility problem (i.e. no objective function has been set). An objective function to be minimised can be set using the function `sossetobj` in which case the field `prog.objective` would contain the relevant data. Recall that for both an SDP and SOSP the objective function must be a linear function. SOSTOOLS will automatically set the weighting vector $c$ in (6.1), this is exactly what is contained in `prog.objective`, while the $x$ corresponds to the decision variables, i.e. the unknown polynomial coefficients in (6.2).

Many SOSP's may include matrix inequality constraints, that is constraints of the form $x^T M(\theta) x \geq 0$, or more accurately that the previous expression is a sum of squares polynomial in $x$ and $\theta$. When setting such constraints the user does not need to declare the independent variable vector $x$ as this is handled internally by SOSTOOLS. The following code sets the matrix constraint:

```matlab
>> sym theta
>> [prog,M] = sospolymatrixvar(prog,monomials([theta],0:2),[3 3],'symmetric');
>> prog = sossmatrixineq(prog,M,'quadraticMineq');
```

which then populates the field `prog.varmat`.

```matlab
>> prog.varmat

prog.varmat =

    vartable: ' [Mvar_1,Mvar_2,Mvar_3]'
    symvartable: [3x1 sym]
    count: 3
```

Here `prog.varmat.symvartable` is the $3 \times 1$ vector of symbolic variables $Mvar_1$, $Mvar_2$, $Mvar_3$ which correspond to the independent variables $x$ in the above example. The field `prog.varmat.symvartable` likewise contains a character array of the vector of variables, while `prog.varmat.count` indicates the number of variables used. Note that in order to save memory the variables $Mvar_1$ may be used with multiple inequalities.
There is one further field, \texttt{prog.extravar} which SOSTOOLS creates. This field has the following entries:

\begin{verbatim}
>> prog.extravar

prog.extravar =

    num:  2
    Z:  {{3x3 double}  [13x3 double]}
    ZZ: {{6x3 double}  [52x3 double]}
    T:  {{9x6 double}  [169x52 double]}
    idx:  {{4}  [13]  [182]}
\end{verbatim}

At this point all the variables have been defined and both constraints and an objective function (if desired) have been set the SOSP is ready to be solved. This is done using the function

\begin{verbatim}
>> prog = sossolve(prog);
\end{verbatim}

which calls the SDP solver and then converts the solution data back into SOSP form. However, the original SDP problem and solution data is stored by SOSTOOLS in the field \texttt{prog.solinfo} which contains the subfields:

\begin{verbatim}
>> prog.solinfo

prog.solinfo =

    x:  [181x1 double]
    y:  [58x1 double]
    RRx: [181x1 double]
    RRy: [181x1 double]
    info:  [1x1 struct]
    solverOptions: [1x1 struct]
    var:  [1x1 struct]
    extravar: [1x1 struct]
    decvar: [1x1 struct]
\end{verbatim}

It is worth remembering that in general users do not need to know how to access, use or even interpret this data as all the polynomial variables can be accessed via the \texttt{sosgetsol} function. For example:

\begin{verbatim}
>> V = sosgetsol(prog,V)

V =

  6.6582*x^2+4.5965*y^2+2.0747*z^2
\end{verbatim}

However there may be occasions when the user would like the specific sum of squares decomposition, or indeed the SDP form of the solution. Before describing how this is done, we explain what each
field in \texttt{prog.solinfo} contains. Recall that the dual canonical form of an SDP takes the form

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\maximize_{y} & \quad b^{T}y \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad c - A^{T}y \in \mathcal{K}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(6.3)

Intuitively \texttt{prog.solinfo.x} and \texttt{prog.solinfo.y} contain the primal and dual decision variables \(x\) and \(y\) respectively. Note, however, that \texttt{prog.solinfo.x} and \texttt{prog.solinfo.y} contain the solution vectors to the whole SDP which will typically be a concatenation of multiple smaller SDPs for each constraint. For the SOSDEMO2 example the field \texttt{prog.solinfo.x} will contain not only the coefficients to the polynomial \(V\) but also the coefficients of the positive semidefinite matrix corresponding to the negativity of the derivative condition. The matrix coefficients are stored in vectorized form. To extract the coefficients of \(V\) directly one can use the field \texttt{prog.solinfo.var.primal}:

\begin{verbatim}
>> prog.solinfo.var.primal{:}
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
an =

6.6582
4.5965
2.0747
\end{verbatim}

Clearly these are verified as the coefficients of \(V\) as given above. For the case where there are multiple variables \texttt{prog.solinfo.var.primal} will return an array of vectors. The dual variables, \(y\) from (6.3), can be accessed in much the same way using \texttt{prog.solinfo.var.dual}. Indeed it is the field \texttt{prog.var.idx} and \texttt{prog.extravar.idx} that were alluded to earlier that extract the relevant coefficients for each of the polynomial decision variables.

In certain instances it may be desirable to obtain the sum of squares decomposition in order to certify a solution. For example, one may wish to see the sum of squares decomposition, the vector \(Z_i(x)\) and positive semidefinite matrix \(Q_i\), of the constraint

\[- \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}(x_3^2 + 1)\dot{x}_1 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2}(x_3^2 + 1)\dot{x}_2 - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_3}(x_3^2 + 1)\dot{x}_3 \geq 0.\]

This is achieved using the \texttt{prog.solinfo.extravar.primal} field. The \texttt{prog.solinfo.extravar} field has the following structure:

\begin{verbatim}
>> prog.solinfo.extravar
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
an =

primal: {
[3x3 double]  [13x13 double]
}
double: {
[3x3 double]  [13x13 double]
}
\end{verbatim}

The derivative condition was the second constraint to be set so in order to obtain the corresponding \(Q_i\) the following command is used:

\begin{verbatim}
>> Q2 = prog.solinfo.extravar.primal{2};
\end{verbatim}

In this example \(Q2\) is a \(13 \times 13\) matrix which we will omit due to space constraints. The matrix \(Q_1\) corresponding to the first constraint is:
\[
\textbf{Q1} = \text{prog.solinfo.extravar.primal\{1\}}
\]

\[
\textbf{Q1} = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
5.6852 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 3.5965 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 1.0747
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and the corresponding vector of monomials, \(Z_1(x, y, z)\) is obtained using

\[
\textbf{Z1} = \text{mysympower([x,y,z],prog.extravar.Z\{1\})}
\]

\[
\textbf{Z1} = \\
x \\
y \\
z
\]

which proves that

\[
Z_1^T(x, y, z)Q_1Z_1(x, y, z) = V(x, y, z) - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \geq 0.
\]

In this example, as we mentioned earlier, there is no objective function to minimise. However, for SOSP's that do contain an objective function the field \text{prog.solinfo.decvar} returns both the primal solution \(c^T x\) corresponding to (6.1) and the dual solution \(b^T y\) corresponding to (6.3).

The numerical information regarding the solution as returned from the SDP solver is stored in the field \text{prog.solinfo.info}. The exact information stored is dependent upon which of the solvers is used. However the typical information returned is displayed in Figure 3.2.

The fields \text{prog.solinfo.RRx} and \text{prog.solinfo.RRy} are populated with the complete solution of the SDP. The elements of the fields \text{prog.solinfo.decvar.primal}, \text{prog.solinfo.extravar.primal}, and \text{prog.solinfo.decvar.dual}, \text{prog.solinfo.extravar.dual}, are actually computed by extracting and rearranging the entries of \text{prog.solinfo.RRx} and \text{prog.solinfo.RRy}.

The used solver and its parameters are stored in the fields \text{prog.solinfo.solverOptions.solver} and \text{prog.solinfo.solverOptions.params}.

The notation \(RRx\) and \(RRy\) is due to the fact that they receive the values of \(RRx, RR(c - A^T y)\) where \(x\) and \(y\) are the primal and the dual solutions computed by the solver. The matrix \(RR\) is a permutation matrix used to rearrange the input data for the solver. This is required because the solvers need to distinguish between decision variables which are on the cone of positive semi-definite matrices and other decision variables. With the permutation matrices \(RR\), this arrangement of decision variables previous to the call to the SDP solver is transparent to the user of SOSTOOLS.
Chapter 7

List of Functions

%SOSTOOLS --- Sum of Squares Toolbox
%Version 3.03, 1 April 2018.
%
%Monomial vectors construction:

%MOMONIALS --- Construct a vector of monomials with prespecified
degrees.
%MPMONOMIALS --- Construct a vector of multipartite monomials with
prespecified degrees.

%General purpose sum of squares program (SOSP) solver:

%SOSPROGRAM --- Initialize a new SOSP.
%SOSDECLVAR --- Declare new decision variables in an SOSP.
%SOSPOLYVAR --- Declare a new polynomial variable in an SOSP.
%SOSSETOBJ --- Declare a new sum of squares variable in an SOSP.
%SOSPOLYMATRIXVAR --- Declare a new matrix of polynomial variables in an SOSP.
%SOSSESMATRIXVAR --- Declare a new matrix of sum of squares polynomial
variables in an SOSP.
%SOSINEQ --- Add a new equality constraint to an SOSP.
%SOSINEQ --- Add a new inequality constraint to an SOSP.
%SOSMATRIXINEQ --- Add a new matrix inequality constraint to an SOSP.
%SOSSETOBJ --- Set the objective function of an SOSP.
%SOSSESMATRIX --- Solve an SOSP.
%SOSSESMATRIX --- Get the solution from a solved SOSP.

%Customized functions:

%FINDSOS --- Find a sum of squares decomposition of a given polynomial
or a given polynomial matrix.
%FINDLYAP --- Find a Lyapunov function for a dynamical system.
%FINDBOUND --- Find a global/constrained lower bound for a polynomial.

%Demos:
% SOSDEMO1 and SOSDEMO1P --- Sum of squares test.
% SOSDEMO2 and SOSDEMO2P --- Lyapunov function search.
% SOSDEMO3 and SOSDEMO3P --- Bound on global extremum.
% SOSDEMO4 and SOSDEMO4P --- Matrix copositivity.
% SOSDEMO5 and SOSDEMO5P --- Upper bound for the structured singular value mu.
% SOSDEMO6 and SOSDEMO6P --- MAX CUT.
% SOSDEMO7 --- Chebyshev polynomials.
% SOSDEMO8 --- Bound in probability.
% SOSDEMO9 and SOSDEMO9P --- Sum of squares matrix decomposition.
% SOSDEMO10 and SOSDEMO10P --- Set containment.
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